

# City of Milwaukee



## Residents Preference Program

2017 Annual Participation Report

# Table of Contents

---

MESSAGE FROM THE MAYOR .....2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....3

DEPARTMENT REPORTS .....

    DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.....7

    DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION .....30

    DEPARTMENT OF CITY DEVELOPMENT .....36

    DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES .....41

# Message from the Mayor

---



Greetings!

The City of Milwaukee has made significant investments in economic development that have transformed our downtown. I want those investments to create an opportunity for Milwaukee residents to build the future of our city. The Residents Preference Program (RPP) requires contractors and developers to hire underemployed and unemployed city residents to perform 40% of work on most city contracts and private development receiving City financial support. The RPP requirement is a program for contracts and developer to create job opportunities in industries that often lead to long term careers and sustainable wages. The thousands of hours and millions of dollars in RPP wages reported her shows the commitment of my Administration, the private sector and our community partners to making sure development in the heart of the city pumps opportunity into every Milwaukee neighborhood.

I want to thank the dedicated staff within the Department of Administration, Department of Public Works, Department of City Development and the Department of Neighborhood Services for their commitment to inclusion and accountability in managing the RPP program. They constantly seek creative ways to ensure that our residents help build the future of Milwaukee. Working together, we will continue to leverage City funds to grow economic opportunity for Milwaukee residents

TOM BARRETT  
Mayor

# Executive Summary


The City of Milwaukee Residents Preference Program (RPP) is a long-running social program established to boost resident participation on publicly funded infrastructure and development projects, thereby ensuring that family-supporting construction jobs are reserved for City residents. This program requires that 40% of all labor hours are worked by certified resident participants that are underemployed or underemployed. The Residents Preference Program, first created in 1991, remains valuable as the City of Milwaukee unemployment rate is still above the state average.

The following departments are responsible for administering RPP and have contributed to the contents of this report.


Department	Contract Types	Legislation
<a href="#">Department of Public Works</a>	Public works projects	Milwaukee Code of Ordinances (MCO) <a href="#">CH 309-41</a>
<a href="#">Office of Small Business Development / Department of City Development</a>	Private development projects	<a href="#">MCO CH 355</a> (applies to projects with >\$1M City assistance)
<a href="#">Department of City Development</a>	Housing Infrastructure Program and Tenant Transition to Ownership Program	<a href="#">MCO CH 7-22 (5)</a> , <a href="#">CH 309-41</a>
<a href="#">Department of Neighborhood Services</a>	Deconstruction and DPW Demolition Support (City-owned property)	<a href="#">MCO CH 309-41</a>
<a href="#">Environmental Collaboration Office</a>	Me2 and PACE projects	Community Workforce Agreement ( <a href="#">Common Council File Number: 131139</a> )

# Overall Impact


In 2017, 2,729 RPP certified individuals performed in various capacities on contracts and certain city-funded private development projects executed and/or monitored by the Department of Public Works (DPW) , Department of Neighborhood Services (DNS), Department of City Development (DCD) and the Office of Small Business Development (OSBD).




RPP-certified workers in zip code 53215 accounted for 17% of the total workers who performed on contracts or projects with RPP requirements.



Caucasians (57%), followed by African Americans (23%) accounted for the largest percentage of workers who performed on contract and certain city-funded private development projects.



Males accounted for 88% of the workers who performed on contracts and certain city-funded private development projects.



# Department Reports

---

# Department of Public Works

---

## Summary

The Department of Public Works (DPW) monitors and reports on Residents Preference Program (RPP) participation on DPW formal contracts with the support of the private contracting community and the Milwaukee Common Council.

The following section reviews RPP compliance on formal DPW contracts that were closed during the 2017 calendar year per the reporting requirements of Section 309-41 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances and contains several exhibits to illustrate various aspects of the City of Milwaukee Residents Preference Program performance.

## Historical Overview of the Residents Preference Program

*Section 309-41 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances* requires that the Department of Public Works submit an Annual Report to the Common Council summarizing the results of the previous year's Residents Preference Program (RPP) activities.

In July of 1991, the City of Milwaukee Common Council created the Residents Preference Program. The ordinance originally required that 25% of worker hours be performed by unemployed residents of the special impact area—except in special cases where the Commissioner of Public Works determines there is sufficient reason to impose lesser levels of participation. The designated target area district corresponded to the City's Community Development Block Grant Areas.

In August of 2009, the ordinance was changed and RPP participation requirements were increased from 25% to 40%. Contracts initiated on or after August 10, 2009 require 40% of worker hours consist of unemployed or underemployed residents. In addition, the special impact area has been expanded from the Community Development Block Grant Area to encompass the entire area of the City of Milwaukee.

Beginning in 2017, Chapter 309-41 was again revised in an effort to provide family-supporting construction jobs in the City's neighborhoods that are hardest hit by poverty. DPW projects let after January 1, 2017 require that 25% of all required RPP hours (40%) are worked by RPP-certified employees living in high poverty zip codes as identified by the City Clerk's Office. The changes made to this Ordinance in 2017 also require that contractors utilize RPP-certified apprentices. Additionally, when contracts with apprentice requirements are awarded at \$500,000 or more, at least 40% of these hours must worked by apprentices living in high poverty zip



codes. Three contracts let in 2017 closed in 2017, but only one of these contracts required RPP participation and included these updated provisions. The other two 2017 contracts were let for specialty work and did not require RPP participation.

DPW's 2017 report follows the new reporting requirements adopted in 2017 per Section 309-41-5 of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances.

## **2017 Residents Preference Program Participation**

Formal construction contracts let by the Department of Public Works require that 40% of all construction labor hours are performed by unemployed or underemployed residents. Although this program is race and gender neutral, contractors are encouraged to give fair consideration to women and minorities in hiring—DPW collects demographic information on construction contract workers in order to monitor participation on these contracts and to report this data to the Common Council.

DPW contracts have met or exceeded the RPP compliance requirements for the past several years. For contracts closed in 2017, 38.1% of all labor hours worked and 34.2% of all labor dollars paid were attributed to RPP-certified workers. When including non-RPP certified Milwaukee resident labor hours in calculating overall participation, 45.4% of all labor hours were worked by City residents. These year-end statistics include a number of contracts where the RPP requirement was either waived or reduced. When omitting contracts without RPP requirements (but still including contracts with reduced requirements), participation numbers are much higher. 43.8% of all labor hours and 38.1% of all labor dollars paid were attributed to RPP-certified workers on projects with RPP requirements. When including non-RPP certified Milwaukee resident labor hours in calculating participation on contracts with a RPP requirement, 52.91% of all labor hours were worked by City residents.

## **2017 Compliance Highlights**

DPW closed 105 total contracts in 2017 with a total award amount of \$87,254,328.71. Of these 105 contracts, 97 required RPP participation and eight were exempt from these requirements. The 97 contracts requiring RPP participation were awarded for a total of \$84,446,006.76 (96.8% of the total awarded amount of all contracts closed in 2017). Of the 97 contracts with RPP requirements, 92 (94.8%) met the advertised RPP requirements and five fell short (5.2%) of the advertised RPP requirements.

Five contracts were let with reduced RPP requirements, and eight contracts waived RPP requirements. Details on the reasons for reducing/waiving these requirements can be found later in this report.

Numbers for both the current year and the five years prior are encouraging, and demonstrate that the majority of City contractors are meeting or exceeding RPP compliance requirements.

Contractors working on contracts let through DPW must submit regular timesheets of laborers' wage, home address, apprentice status, job title, gender and race. Workers self-identify gender and race to their employers to report on these documents. These payroll reports are used to calculate both the **number of hours** worked by RPP participants and the **wages paid** to these participants.

RPP participant hours compromise 38.1% of all labor hours; with RPP and Milwaukee City Resident hours together comprising 45.4% of all labor hours. In recent years, labor hours were split fairly equally between residents of the City of Milwaukee and those not living in Milwaukee. The 2017 results are in-line with this trend.

Although the 38.1% RPP participation on contracts closed in 2017 is lower than the Department-wide requirement of 40%, it is important to note that this total includes contracts in which the requirement was reduced or waived due to specialty work (see *Contracts with Waived/Reduced RPP*) and that a number of specialty projects—specifically large projects for bridge repair and MWW Plants work—were closed during this period. These unique contracts contributed to the overall lower RPP participation on contracts closed in 2017. The rate of compliance for contracts closed in 2017 (95.2%) is in-line with previous reporting years and is, in fact, higher than the rate of compliance for contracts closed in 2016, when the overall RPP participation rate was above the 40% requirement.

**When contracts with waived RPP requirements are omitted from the data set, the overall RPP participation on contracts closed in 2017 jumps to 43.8%, with a City of Milwaukee total of 52.91%.**

DPW contracts closed in 2017 paid *Labor and Wage Costs* of \$12,027,813.73. The *Actual Final Cost* paid to contractors was \$101,018,685.20. More than 34% of the total labor costs were paid to RPP participants. For contracts closed in 2017, 11.9% of the Actual Final Cost is Labor/Wage dollars. For 2017 closed contracts, the RPP labor costs made up 4.1% of Actual Final Cost.

Although the Residents Preference Program is race and gender neutral, DPW monitors contract participation by both race/ethnicity and gender. For the 2017 data set, 60% of labor hours were allocated to Caucasians. As in other reporting years, most employees on DPW contracts identified as males—88% of labor hours were allocated to males. Three percent of workers chose not to disclose their gender or race/ethnicity. Males continue to dominate the DPW construction community. Program participation by race/ethnicity and by gender is further considered later in this report.

For the past several years, the labor hours between City of Milwaukee residents and non-City residents were nearly equally split. This trend held true for contracts closed in 2017. In 2017, resident (including RPP-certified and non-RPP certified employees) hours comprised just over 45% of all labor hours on DPW contracts. Additionally, wages paid to RPP certified workers

accounted for 34% of all labor dollars—which is generally in proportion with the labor hours performed by RPP certified employees.


### **Residents Preference Program Compliance**

Of the 105 contracts closed in 2017, a total of 100 contracts are in compliance with RPP requirements, five fell short and eight are considered exempt from any RPP compliance requirements. Seventeen contracts that might have reported shortfalls were able to make use of the provision to claim RPP credit for RPP-approved employee hours on projects without RPP requirements to remain in compliance with contract requirements.

### **40% RPP Requirement**

90 contracts had a RPP compliance requirement of 40% (one contract was let prior to 2009 and had a 25% requirement). Six contracts had adjusted RPP percentages ranging from 15% to 25%. Eight contracts were exempt from RPP requirements.

95.2% of contracts closed in 2017 are in compliance with the advertised RPP requirements. Contracts not in compliance with advertised requirements account for 4.8% of all contracts closed in 2017. Eight, or 7.6% of contracts closed in 2017 were exempt from RPP requirements.



RPP COMPLIANCE – 25% REQUIRMENT (2009 or earlier)	TOTAL
<b>TOTAL CONTRACTS</b>	1
<b>COMPLIANT</b>	0
<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b>	1
<b>EXEMPT</b>	0

RPP COMPLIANCE – 40% REQUIREMENT	TOTAL
<b>TOTAL CONTRACTS</b>	90
<b>COMPLIANT – 40%</b>	87
<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b>	3

RPP COMPLIANCE – REDUCED REQUIREMENT	TOTAL
<b>TOTAL CONTRACTS</b>	6
<b>COMPLIANT – 15% - 25% (AS ADVERTISED)</b>	4
<b>NON-COMPLIANT W/REDUCED REQUIREMENT</b>	2


SUMMARY OF CLOSED 2017	TOTAL
<b>TOTAL CONTRACTS</b>	105
<b>COMPLIANT</b>	100
<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b>	5
<b>EXEMPT</b>	8

- One contract fell short of the 25% RPP requirement for contracts let in 2009 and earlier
- Three contracts advertised with a 40% RPP requirement fell short of this requirement
- Eight contracts were exempt from RPP requirements
- Six total contracts were let with a reduced RPP requirement

### RPP, Non-RPP, Non-Resident Hours


YEAR	RPP %	NON RPP %	NON MILWAUKEE %
2011	44.00%	5.40%	50.60%
2012	50.20%	3.60%	46.20%
2013	45.50%	7.40%	46.20%
2014	42.80%	8.70%	48.70%
2015	47.95%	8.47%	43.42%
2016	41.96%	36.92%	21.12%
2017*	38.10%	7.31%	55.50%
Average to date	44.36%	11.11%	44.53%

\*2017 numbers include RPP credit hours



**Residency Hours**

RESIDENCY	LABOR HOURS	%
RPP	155,185.82	37.13%
RPP credit hours	3,888.90	
RPP w/credit hours	159,074.72	38.06%
Non RPP	30,538.85	7.31%
Non Milwaukee	232,257.50	55.57%
<b>Total Labor</b>	<b>417,982.17</b>	<b>100.00%</b>




- RPP participant hours (including submitted and approved credit hours) account for 38% of all worker hours. This number includes contracts with reduced/waived RPP requirements.
- City of Milwaukee hours total 45.4% of all labor hours

### Hours by Race & Gender

Race & Gender	% of Hours
White Male	56%
Black Male	16%
Latino Male	14%
White Female	5%
Black Female	5%
Asian Male	1%
Native American Male	1%
Latino Female	0.0223%
Native American Female	0.0002%
Unspecified	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>


### PERCENT OF TOTAL HOURS



### Wages by Race & Gender

Race & Gender	% of Wages
White Male	61%
Black Male	15%
Latino Male	13%
White Female	4%
Black Female	2%
Asian Male	1%
Native American Male	1%
Latino Female	0.0165%
Native American Female	0.0002%
Unspecified	2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>


### PERCENT of TOTAL Wages



## RPP Wages

Residency	Labor \$	% of Labor \$
RPP	\$ 4,108,972.85	34%
Non-RPP/Non-resident	\$ 7,918,840.88	66%
<b>TOTAL Labor \$</b>	<b>\$ 12,027,813.73</b>	<b>100%</b>

- 34.2% of total labor costs were paid to RPP participants




## RPP Wages by Year

YEAR	Labor \$	RPP \$	% of RPP labor costs
2011	\$8,571,291.27	\$3,566,528.78	41.61%
2012	\$11,792,397.00	\$5,008,749.30	42.47%
2013	\$14,267,823.97	\$5,974,093.09	41.87%
2014	\$11,261,259.96	\$4,422,870.42	39.28%
2015	\$7,084,988.63	\$3,067,361.30	43.29%
2016	\$18,005,014.59	\$7,314,511.59	40.62%
2017	\$12,027,813.73	\$4,108,972.85	34.16%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$83,010,589.15</b>	<b>\$33,463,087.33</b>	<b>40.31%</b>

## Worker Participation by Zip Code

Employees from all over Wisconsin to as far away as North Carolina and Washington State worked on DPW's 2017 contracts. However, as already noted, Milwaukee residents make up a large share of all participants on DPW contracts (45.9% of all individual participants, and 45.41% of all work hours). See below for a visual representation of the residence of Milwaukee employees working on the 105 contracts closed in 2017.






Milwaukee-based workers on contracts closed in 2017 lived in the zip codes listed in the table below. Workers living in high-poverty zip codes are highlighted – these hours account for 20.1% of all work hours and 43.9% of Milwaukee hours

PARTICIPATION BY ZIP CODE		
Zip Code	# of Workers	% of Count
53202	15	0.32%
53203	2	0.04%
53204	128	2.71%
53205	17	0.36%
53206	62	1.31%
53207	140	2.96%
53208	66	1.40%
53209	131	2.77%
53210	78	1.65%
53211	27	0.57%
53212	63	1.33%
53213	34	0.72%
53214	111	2.35%
53215	383	8.11%
53216	110	2.33%
53218	146	3.09%
53219	105	2.22%
53220	57	1.21%
53221	106	2.24%
53222	55	1.16%
53223	98	2.08%
53224	59	1.25%
53225	83	1.76%
53226	23	0.49%
53227	35	0.74%
53228	24	0.51%
53233	8	0.17%
53234	2	0.04%


### Worker Participation by Race

Race	Count	Percent
White	3223	60%
Black	1128	21%
Hispanic	752	14%
Asian	54	1%
Native American	54	1%
Unspecified	161	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5372</b>	<b>100%</b>



### Worker Participation by Gender

Gender	Count	Percent
Male	4702	87.54%
Female	534	9.94%
Unspecified	135	2.52%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5371</b>	<b>100%</b>



### Worker Participation by Trade

Workers in 51 different trades were employed on DPW contracts that closed in 2017. The top three trades employed on these contracts were Laborers, Truck Drivers, and Equipment Operators.

TRADE	PERCENT
APPRENTICE LABORER	2.76%
ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	0.17%
BOTTOM MAN LABORER	0.48%
BRICKLAYER	0.07%
CARPENTER	1.47%
CARPET LAYER	0.04%
CASHIER	0.32%
CEMENT FINISHER	3.46%
CONSTRUCTION STAKING	0.04%
DIVER	0.07%
DRYWALL TAPER	0.07%
ELECTRICIAN	1.30%
ELEVATOR CONSTRUCTOR	0.13%
EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	19.01%
FENCE ERECTOR	0.30%
FIBER OPTIC INSTALLER	0.02%
FIRE PUMP ALIGNMENT	0.02%
FLAGGER	0.60%
FOREMAN	0.37%
FORMSETTER	0.13%
GLAZIER	0.24%
GROUNDSMAN	0.09%
HEAT OR FROST INSULATOR	0.17%
IRONWORKER	2.03%
LABORER	38.19%
LANDSCAPER	0.74%
MASON	0.58%
METER COLLECTOR	0.07%
MILLWRIGHT	0.20%
OWNER	0.09%
PAINTER	0.48%
PAVING LABORER	0.74%
PIPEFITTER	0.04%
PLASTERER	0.04%
PLUMBER	0.24%
ROOFER	1.88%

SERVICE CONTRACT WAGE - LABORER	0.65%
SHEET METAL WORKER	0.73%
SOUND/COMM INSTALLER	0.02%
SPRINKLER FITTER	0.06%
STEAMFITTER	0.26%
SURVEYOR	0.04%
TELEDATA INSTALLER	0.02%
TELEDATA TECH	0.07%
TILE FINISHER	0.02%
TILE SETTER	0.02%
TRAFFIC CONTROL	0.24%
TRUCK DRIVER	21.04%
TUCK POINTER	0.07%
WATERPROOFER	0.04%
WELDER	0.06%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

- As in previous reporting years, most workers on DPW projects were employed as Laborers
- Highly-paid positions, such as Equipment Operators, also made up a sizeable proportion of workers on these contracts

### NON-COMPLIANT CONTRACTS

Five contracts closed in 2017 were out of compliance with the advertised RPP requirements. Details on these contracts and the RPP shortfalls recorded are listed below.

Three of the non-compliant contracts had a 40% RPP requirement, one non-compliant contract was let with the 2009 requirement of 25% RPP participation and the remaining contract had reduced RPP requirements. In four of the five cases of non-compliance, the contractor was penalized by DPW for failing to meet RPP requirements.

CONTRACTOR	CONTRACT #	PROJECT TYPE	REQUIRED RPP %	ACTUAL RPP %	% SHORT
Pieper Electric	C641140026	WATER PLANTS	40%	36%	4%
Lunda Construction	C523140073	BRIDGES	15%	10%	5%
Cornerstone Pavers	C523140128	STREETS	40%	39.8%	.2%
Pieper Electric	C545090021	BUILDINGS	25%	7%	18%
Lunda Construction	C523140032	BRIDGES	40%	20%	20%

Five contracts fell short of RPP requirements. Three of the non-compliant contracts fell short by 5% or less and two fell short by greater than 5%.

DPW levied penalties for these shortfalls in all but one case. Pieper Electric was not penalized for the RPP shortfall on contract C641140026 due to a substantial change order that resulted from unforeseen field conditions. This change order caused a large increase of hours that required specially-trained technicians to complete the work. Milwaukee Water Works Engineering confirmed that three major issues impacted the total number of hours/RPP hours on this contract:

1. Failed underground conduit that was to be used in the new installation.
2. Location of underground utilities not located where shown on as-built drawings.
3. A freeze on shipments of pre-fab security guard shacks from manufacturers because of Homeland Security Demands (this caused MWW/Piper Electric to stick build on-site).

Were it not for this change order, DPW believes that this contract would have met the 40% RPP requirement without issue.

The total penalty amount for contracts closed in 2017 was \$63,154.29. In the case of contract C545090021 with Pieper Electric, DPW withheld the full amount of the final payment due to the contractor's failure to submit close-out documents despite having requested this documentation since 2014. DPW's General Specifications give DPW authority to close out contract accounts and "retain the contract proceeds permanently" if contract compliance "deficiencies are not satisfied within one (1) year of completion of the work."

### **Contracts with Reduced/Waived RPP Requirements**

There are some cases where the Department of Public Works does not require any resident worker participation. These projects involve specialty work requiring unique products or services. The participation criterion may also be waived when funding sources, such as the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, prevent the City of Milwaukee from imposing the (RPP) requirement.

In 2017, 105 formal construction contracts were closed by the Department of Public Works. Eight of these formal contracts had no resident participation requirements. In each case, the decision to waive the standard resident participation requirement was made after discussions with the contracting division concerning the type and exact method of construction involved in the project. The decision to lower or eliminate the participation requirements is based on one or more of the following factors:

- The work involved was highly specialized requiring skills and experience not possessed by the list of eligible resident workers and not likely to be found in the population of

potential target resident workers—the three Bridge contracts closed in 2017 are excellent examples of this type of work.

- The contract required an approved workforce.
- The project was funded either totally or in large part from a source other than the City. When non-City grant funds are used for public improvements, the grantor generally prohibits the City from imposing social requirements that exceed the standards and requirements of the grantor. The Beerline Bicycle Trail Extension contract with Poblocki Paving Corporation was funded under the WisDOT Transportation Alternatives Program and is an example of this type of project.
- The contract is for services that will be provided on an unpredictable schedule. Formal service contracts often are let with unpredictable schedules. Requiring contractors on service contracts to meet RPP standards is often not possible as work is uncertain and unpredictable. For contracts closed in 2017, two stump grinding contracts with unpredictable scheduling were let without RPP requirements.

### Contracts with Reduced/Waived RPP Requirements

The five contracts closed in 2017 that were let with **reduced RPP** requirements and the eight contracts that waived all RPP requirements are listed in the following table:

CONTRACT	CONTRACTOR	DIVISION	DESCRIPTION	Req RPP	Final RPP	Reasons for Reduction
C641150045	AB Data	WATER	Furnished labor, service and materials to imprint and mail Municipal Services bills	0%	0%	This is a unique contract for providing labor and materials to imprint and mail MWW invoices. There are a very limited number of providers in the State expected to qualify as bidders on this project. MWW requests that the RPP requirement be waived in order to open the bidding up to as many qualified bidders as possible--including those outside of the Milwaukee-area.
C523150065	Poblocki Paving	STREETS	Beerline Bicycle Trail Extension	0%	0%	This contract was funded under the WisDOT Transportation Alternatives Program and followed requirements as specified by the funding source.
C523140073	Lunda Construction	BRIDGES	35th St & Howell Ave bridges repairs	15%	10%	This contract is for specialty bridge repairs. DPW estimates that approximately 15% of the workforce needed for this contract does not require special training/skills. The remainder of the work on this contract is reserved for a workforce with specialized skills.


C523150124	Reichl Construction	BUILDINGS	Third District Police Station Parking Structure	15%	30%	A reduced RPP requirement was requested due to the specialty nature of the work being performed and the amount of highly-skilled electricians and plumbers required on-site.
C545160029	Asplundh Tree Expert	FORESTRY	Stump Grinding, Removal and Site Restoration Standards	0%	0%	This contract requires limited but highly skilled personnel to safely perform stump grinding operations within narrow tree borders and in close proximity to street curbing, sidewalks, driveway approaches, underground utilities, improved property, and pedestrians. A maximum crew size of two employees required to complete this work does not provide sufficient labor participation to support both a SBE and RPP requirement without artificially inflating the crew size and project cost. Additionally, the skill level and experience required to safely operate a stump grinder in confined public spaces between the sidewalk and curb along streets does not lend itself to unskilled labor participation beyond stump hole backfill operations, which is typically assigned to the SBE contractor with CDL qualifications to haul spoils and backfill materials.
C523130053	Zenith Tech	BRIDGES	Rehabilitation of the St. Paul Ave Lift Bridge	20%	20%	This contract is for specialty bridge repairs. DPW estimates that approximately 20% of the workforce needed for this contract does not require special training/skills. The remainder of the work on this contract is reserved for a workforce with specialized skills.
C683160003	Next Electric, Inc.	SEWERS	2016 Bypass Pump & Lift Station Inspection & Bypass Pump Testing Project	10%	13%	This project has historically had 0% SBE, and 10% RPP due to the specialty nature of it. The work involves inspections of our bypass pump stations and lift stations that are typically performed by a limited number of employees (usually 2 at the most) making SBE and RPP requirements very difficult to achieve.
C523150001	Milwaukee General Construction	STREETS	Layton Blvd Streetscape	0%	41%	This contract was Federally funded and followed requirements as specified by the funding source.
C523150037	Zenith Tech	BRIDGES	Rehabilitation of W Becher St Bridge over KK River	25%	25%	This contract is for specialty bridge repairs. DPW estimates that approximately 25% of the workforce needed for this contract does not require special training/skills. The remainder of the work on this contract is reserved for a workforce with specialized training.
C523160093	Century Fence	TRANSPORT.	Bicycle Lanes	0%	0%	This is a Federal Aid Construction Project and followed requirements as specified by the funding source

C545170018	Asplundh Tree Expert	FORESTRY	Stump Grinding, Removal and Site Restoration Standards	0%	0%	This contract requires limited but highly skilled personnel to safely perform stump grinding operations within narrow tree borders and in close proximity to street curbing, sidewalks, driveway approaches, underground utilities, improved property, and pedestrians. A maximum crew size of two employees required to complete this work does not provide sufficient labor participation to support both a SBE and RPP requirement without artificially inflating the crew size and project cost. Additionally, the skill level and experience required to safely operate a stump grinder in confined public spaces between the sidewalk and curb along streets does not lend itself to unskilled labor participation beyond stump hole backfill operations, which is typically assigned to the SBE contractor with CDL qualifications to haul spoils and backfill materials.
C523170037	Struck & Irwin Paving	STREETS	Slurry Seal	0%	0%	There are only two contractors in the State of Wisconsin who do this type of work – both are located west of Madison and do not have a local workforce. Additionally, the chip seal and slurry seal operations utilize a specialized piece of equipment to apply these roadway surface treatments.
C545130038	Smithsonian Materials	FORESTRY	Private lot code enforcement	0%	78%	Contract was let with a waived RPP requirement due to the belief that the unpredictable schedule would make RPP participation unattainable. However, the contractor reported 78% RPP participation on this contract and subsequent Private Lot Code Enforcement contracts have required the standard 40% RPP participation.

## Certification of RPP Participants

A RPP participant must obtain a certification verifying that she both lives in the City of Milwaukee *and* is either unemployed or underemployed at the time of certification. Over 1,400 individuals were verified and approved as Target Resident Workers in 2017. In recent years, the City of Milwaukee has focused on recruiting eligible workers as well as partnering with several community organizations to decrease the unemployment rate and increase the number of living-wage households. The City partners with WRTP/BIG STEP, Riverworks, Milwaukee Community Service Corps and Mindful Staffing to certify RPP participants. WRTP is also partnered with UMOS, Employ Milwaukee, and the City to recruit and give eligible residents construction experience on City crews.






## 2017 APPRENTICE PARTICIPATION


The City of Milwaukee encourages employers to participate in *apprenticeship programs*. On contracts that closed in 2017, 152 apprentices were employed on DPW contracts. 98% of these apprentices self-identify as male, 1% as female, and 1% chose not disclose their gender.

APPRENTICES BY GENDER		
Gender	Count	Percent
Male	149	98%
Female	2	1%
Unspecified	1	1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>100%</b>



Of the 152 Apprentices working on DPW contracts, 66% identify as white, 23% identify as black, 10% identify as Latino, and 1% identify as Native American.

APPRENTICES BY RACE		
Race	Count	Percent
White	100	65.8%
Black	35	23.0%
Latino	15	9.9%
Native American	1	.66%
Unspecified	1	.66%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>100%</b>



### Apprentice Classifications

Apprentices on DPW contracts that closed in 2017 performed varied tasks. Apprentices worked in the following positions:

APPRENTICE HOURS BY CRAFT				
Employed as	Total Apprentice Hrs	% of Apprentice Hrs	RPP Apprentice Hrs	% RPP Appr Hrs
Carpenter	251.75	1.11%	222	88%
Cement Mason	36.5	0.16%	36.5	100%
Electrician	2376	10.43%	1653	70%
Equipment Operator	131	0.58%	0	0%
Ironworker	560.5	2.46%	36	6%
Laborer	14757.8	64.79%	1658.8	11%
Mill Operator	4	0.02%	0	0%
Operator	77	0.34%	54	70%
Operator	1289.3	5.66%	511.25	40%
Operator	4.5	0.02%	0	0%
Paving Laborer	216	0.95%	216	100%
Pipefitter	6	0.03%	0	0%
Plumber	712.25	3.13%	550	77%
Roofer	851	3.74%	493	58%
Sheet Metal Worker	1423.25	6.25%	945	66%
Steamfitter	80	0.35%	0	0%
<b>TOTAL HRS</b>	<b>22776.85</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6376</b>	<b>28%</b>

- 28% of all Apprentice hours on contracts closing in 2017 went to RPP certified Apprentices

- Construction Laborer Apprentices worked more hours than any other group of Apprentices

## WISCONSIN UNEMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Wisconsin Unemployment						
	2012	2013	2014	2015*	2016	2017
Labor Force	3,074,255	3,084,978	3,093,918	3,094,857	3,120,229	3,151,909
Employment	2,857,594	2,876,712	2,923,533	2,953,580	2,991,033	3,048,242
Unemployment	216,661	208,266	170,385	141,277	129,196	103,667
WI Annual Average	7.00%	6.80%	5.50%	4.60%	4.10%	3.30%

\*2015 numbers have been edited by worknet.wisconsin.gov since the 2015 RPP Report was submitted, however, these changes do not affect the WI Annual Average for 2015

- The State of Wisconsin's unemployment rate has consistently been below 8% and has sharply declined since 2012
- Milwaukee County unemployment remains higher than the State average, although the County's unemployment rate has also seen a sharp decline since 2012

Milwaukee County Unemployment						
	2012	2013	2014	2015*	2016	2017
Labor Force	476,448	479,833	480,920	477,475	479,090	478,333
Employment	435,440	439,536	447,273	449,935	454,475	458,982
Unemployment	41,008	40,297	33,647	27,540	24,615	19,351
MKE County Average	8.60%	8.40%	7.00%	5.80%	5.10%	4.05%

\*2015 numbers have been edited by worknet.wisconsin.gov since the 2015 RPP Report was submitted, however, these changes do not affect the Milwaukee County Annual Average for 2015

- In 2017, Milwaukee County unemployed individuals comprised 18.67% of the State's unemployed – a slight improvement over recent previous years.

Percentage of Milwaukee County Unemployed to Wisconsin Unemployed						
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
WI Unemployed Individuals	216,661	208,266	170,385	141,277	129,196	103,667
MKE County Unemployed Individuals	41,008	40,297	33,647	27,540	24,615	19,351
% of MKE County to WI	18.93%	19.35%	19.75%	19.49%	19.05%	18.67%

All data cited from Wisconsin's Worknet; <http://worknet.wisconsin.gov>

# Final Analysis and Recommendations

The Department of Public Works is committed to the success of the Residents Preference Program. Historically, DPW contracts have had high levels of participation in this program and this reporting year is no exception. The contracts closed in 2017 saw high levels of RPP participation in terms of overall hours, labor wages and RPP-approved apprentices.

The Residents Preference Program remains an important component in the City's workforce development as Milwaukee's unemployment rate hovers above the state average. The Milwaukee Residents Preference Program is one way the City can support its resident workforce at a time when that support is much needed. Despite the City's strong endorsement of this program, there are challenges to be met.

## **Challenges of the Residents Preference Program:**

### *Political Environment:*

2015 Wisconsin Act 55 (the budget bill) repealed the state prevailing wage law for local governmental units such as villages, towns, cities, school districts, or sewerage districts effective January 1, 2017.

DPW continues to monitor RPP participation and wages despite the repeal of the state prevailing wage law. It is not yet clear whether, or how, the repeal of prevailing wage law might affect rates of pay for RPP-certified employees.

### *Record Keeping*

DPW relies heavily on contractors to submit information used in monitoring the Residents Preference Program. Information is available, but for all contracts let prior to 2015, this data is held by the contractors until hard copy timesheets are provided to the Contract Department at which time this data is transferred to an electronic format for recording and reporting purposes. Beginning with contracts let in 2015, payroll data can be entered directly into the City's centralized compliance software. However, the responsibility for uploading this data remains with contractors (i.e. it is outside of City staff control) and, once uploaded, requires review of City staff for accuracy before reports can be generated.

Despite DPW's commitment to improving the Residents Preference Program and to implementing the changes to the program that went into effect in 2017, providing timely reports and responses to various requests for RPP data remains a challenge. Simply put, accurate monitoring of RPP compliance is a time-intensive process.

### *Limits of Available Data*

The Residents Preference Program is monitored by the City of Milwaukee, but in many ways, it is administered by the private contractors working on City contracts. RPP participants are

directly employed by private contractors, which limits the City's access to data on these workers. A RPP participant may appear on only one City project time report throughout their employment. DPW's inability to provide a full work-history on RPP participants is a result of limited data, and is a limitation of this program. However, data limitations do not mark a failure of the program.

### **Recommendations to Expand/Improve Residents Preference Program**

DPW takes a proactive approach toward improving the department's ability to report on the success of this program. In 2013, DPW and ITMD collaborated to add a customized software database to the DPW Bid Notices Application to support the tracking of eligible program participants. DPW maintains this database—again adding over 1,400 RPP certifications to this database in 2017—and shares this database with other City departments to ensure that all City departments letting contracts with RPP requirements have access to the most complete list of program participants.

Since 2016, DPW has required contractors to report payroll data using web-based compliance software (LCPtracker) for formal contracts with RPP requirements. The use of LCPtracker compliance software has the potential to speed up the pace of annual reporting, but it should be noted that this software does not enable City departments to pull accurate, real-time RPP reports from the program. Private contractors remain responsible for reporting payroll (including RPP) data. Contractors—and their subcontractors—do not always submit payroll data in a timely manner, and they often misidentify RPP participants on submitted reports. Although payroll data is submitted electronically—cutting down the time required for City staff to perform data entry tasks—payroll data is still reviewed and corrected before final reports on RPP participation are pulled from LCPtracker.

LCPtracker is primarily a wage rate compliance software program. LCPtracker is a project-based program—meaning that it is structured to run reports on specific projects (contracts) and not on specific employees (i.e. RPP participants). Additionally, this system does not track historical RPP data (changes in employee residence) and does not provide a RPP participant database outside of the tracking done by individual project. Although RPP participation on DPW contracts can be tracked using this software, it is *not* serving as DPW's RPP database. DPW continues to support the development of the Bid Notices Application.

The next step in the program is to determine if the contractors are using the pool of eligible residents for City projects. The DPW Contracts Office did not provide workforce development services to contractors in 2017, but believes this service is a vital component of the Residents Preference Program and began assisting with the department's workforce development efforts in 2018. This program is expanding – for the second year in a row, over 1,400 new RPP participants were certified. There is no shortage of infrastructure construction and private development projects in Milwaukee and the City has a substantial RPP-certified workforce – the

City now has the responsibility to ensure that these newly certified participants are finding the family-supporting construction jobs they seek. DPW supports Common Council's focus on workforce development and is committed to assisting with this work.

# Department of Administration - Office of Small Business Development

## Summary

As set forth in City of Milwaukee Code of Ordinances Chapter 355, the Department of Administration-Office of Small Business Development (OSBD) is responsible for monitoring Residents Preference Program (RPP) participation on private development projects that receive more than \$1 million of direct financial assistance from the City of Milwaukee. The direct financial assistance can include: land sales, grants and loans for brownfield remediation, tax incremental financing, and infrastructure improvements. Terms of the participation requirements are codified in a Human Resources Agreement between the Developer and the City. OSBD does not adjust any of the participation percentage requirements or goals for any of the abovementioned projects.

Per the requirements of City of Milwaukee Code of Ordinances Chapter 355-7-3, the Office of Small Business Development has compiled information regarding the efforts of recipients of City financial assistance in achieving the goals of the RPP for development agreements. Details are below.

## Contracts/Projects Subject to Participation

### Completed Contracts/Projects in 2017

In 2017, three (3) were completed. These completed projects received over \$59.9 Million (M) of direct financial assistance. The projects are summarized in Table 1:

**TABLE 1**

2017 Contracts/Projects	Completion Date	Total Project Costs	City Funding
1. Germania	July 2017	\$22 M	1.5 M (TID 86)
2. Mitchell Street	July 2017	\$15 M	4.4 M (Loan)
3. Northwestern Mutual	August 2017	\$54 M	54 M (TID 78)

As stipulated in Chapter 355-7-2.a of the Milwaukee Code of Ordinances, the above-referenced projects were subject to the 40% RPP participation requirement.

## RPP Targets & Achievements

Table 2 below summarizes the completed project's RPP achievement.

**TABLE 2**

Projects with RPP Targets	RPP % Required	RPP % Achieved	# of RPP Hours Worked	#SIA Hours Worked
1. Germania	40%	38	23,170	14,840
2. Mitchell Street	40%	21	1,072	822
3. Northwestern Mutual	40%	43.5	795,882	821

Two (2) projects met RPP requirements whereas one (1) did not. Developers and their teams are aware of the shortfalls, enforcement and best effort alternatives identified in the Human Resources Agreements and Chapter 355 MCO.

## Socio-Economic Impact

### Worker Participation by Residency

As shown in Table 3 below, worker hours have also been broken down percentagewise by residency respective to location: within the City of Milwaukee, in the State of Wisconsin (excluding City of Milwaukee), and outside of Wisconsin.

**TABLE 3**

Projects	% Hours by City Residents	% Hours by Non-City Residents	% Hours by Non-State Residents
1. Germania	95	0	5
2. Mitchell Street	59	41	0
3. Northwestern Mutual	83	0	17

### Worker Participation By Zip Code

As shown in Table 4 below, worker hours have also been broken down by location: within the City of Milwaukee, in the State of Wisconsin (excluding City of Milwaukee), and outside of Wisconsin.

In 2017, the 53219 zip code had the highest percentage (12%) of RPP-certified workers for city-funded private development projects.



**TABLE 4**

<b>PARTICIPATION BY ZIP CODE</b>		
<b>Zip Code</b>	<b># of Workers</b>	<b>% of Workers</b>
53202	15	2%
*53204	54	7%
*53205	20	3%
*53206	42	6%
53207	39	5%
*53208	40	5%
53209	47	6%
*53210	32	4%
53211	25	3%
*53212	39	5%
53213	21	3%
53214	71	9%
*53215	82	11%
53216	45	6%
*53218	57	7%
53219	95	12%
53220	23	3%
53221	9	1%
53222	6	1%
53223	0	0%
53224	6	0%
53225	3	0%
53227	3	0%
53228	1	0%
*53233	1	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Denotes a Special Impact Areas zip code

### **Worker Participation By Race**

As shown in Table 5, African-Americans and Caucasians both shared the highest percentage (39% respectively) of RPP-certified workers for city-funded private development projects.

**TABLE 5**

<b>Race</b>	<b># of Workers</b>	<b>% of Workers</b>
Asian	10	1%
African-American	300	39%
Caucasian	299	39%
Hispanic	124	16%

Native American	2	0%
Not Specified	28	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Worker Participation By Gender

In 2017, males represented 93% of RPP-certified workers on city-funded private development projects.

**TABLE 6**

Race	#of Workers	% of Workers
Male	722	96%
Female	41	3%
Unspecified	0	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>100%</b>

## RPP Workers by Trade

In 2017, by trade, general laborers represented the highest percentage (25%) of RPP-certified workers on city-funded private development projects.

**TABLE 7**

Trade	# of RPP Workers	# of RPP Hours	% of RPP Hours
Asbestos Abatement Worker	18	3306	0%
Bricklayer	15	17791	2%
Carpenter & Soft Floor Layer	94	97053	12%
Cement Finisher	16	15605	2%
Drywall Taper or Finisher	30	29,192	4%
Electrician	71	116852	14%
Fence Erector	4	297	0%
Floor Cover	2	2004	0%
General Laborer	164	202466	25%
Glazier	7	8056	1%
Heat or Frost Insulator	10	14,296	2%
Heavy Equipment Operator	16	12,283	2%
Ironworker	80	97,158	12%
Landscaper	5	1,479	0%
Marble Finisher	5	5506	1%
Painter	16	13,053	2%
Pipefitter	3	657	0%
Plasterer	1	2,878	0%

Plumber	17	22,668	3%
Roofer	10	6609	1%
Security	32	23,454	3%
Sheet Metal	27	27,284	3%
Steam Fitter	25	32,407	4%
Technician	27	35,633	4%
Tile Layer	16	14,541	2%
Truck Driver	50	9585	1%
Tuckpointer	2	26	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>812,139</b>	<b>100%</b>

### RPP Hourly Wages

In 2017, RPP residents accounted for 24% of the total hourly wages paid on city-funded private development projects.

**TABLE 8**

Residency	Labor \$	% of Labor \$
RPP	\$ 390,836.38	24%
Non-RPP/Non-resident	\$ 1,254,003.18	76%
<b>TOTAL Labor \$</b>	<b>\$ 1,644,839.56</b>	<b>100%</b>

### RPP New Hires

None of the contractors who performed on the referenced projects reported hiring new employees.

### Apprentice and/or On-The-Job Training by Gender

In 2017, males accounted for 91% of apprentices on city-funded private development projects.

**TABLE 9**

APPRENTICES BY GENDER		
Gender	# of Workers	% of Workers
Male	247	91%
Female	27	9%
Unspecified	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Apprentice and/or On-The-Job Training by Race

As shown in Table 10, Germania and Mitchell Street data is included but not Northwestern Mutual because the compliance monitor did not track demographic information by race because it was not required at the commencement of the project.

**TABLE 10**

APPRENTICES BY RACE		
Race	# of Workers	% of Workers
White	39	14%
Black	0	0%
Latino	2	1%
Native American	1	0%
Unspecified	229	85%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Adjustments/Waivers

The Office of Small Business Development did not adjust or waive any of the participation percentage requirements or goals for any of these projects.

## Final Analysis and Recommendations

Over the years, there have been extensive conversations regarding how to create a pipeline of readily available RPP-certified workers. More specifically, the discussion has revolved around how to utilize and share the list of workers with contractors, aiding in the effort to identify and hire those individuals. This office recommends adding a section or checkbox to the affidavit that gives the City permission to share the worker’s contact information with prospective contractors. Contact information would be limited to the worker’s name, email address and/or phone number. With all changes, internal resources and processes must be taken into consideration. Therefore, since certification is administered by the Department of Public Works, their input on the feasibility and implementation is critical.

# Department of City Development

## Summary

The Department of City Development (DCD) is responsible for implementing 2 residential rehabilitation programs that include the requirement of 40% Residents Preference Program (RPP) participation in total hours work on each project, pursuant to Milwaukee City Ordinances 309-41. These two programs are:

1. The Housing Infrastructure Preservation (HIP) Fund was established in 2010 to provide a permanent and dedicated funding source to assist in the restoration of City-owned houses prior to property sale. The Housing Infrastructure Preservation Fund to pay for repairs to structures that have unique property characteristics, are located in Historic Districts and/or have important neighborhood impacts to prevent building demolition and to assist in the financial feasibility of the rehabilitation to be completed by a future homeowner.
2. Tenant Transition to Ownership program (T3OP) significantly expands City efforts to encourage tenants of City-owned tax-foreclosed properties to buy the homes they are leasing. The program identifies and works intensively with qualified tenants to help them to become long-term, sustainable home owners. Tenants go through a screening process to determine eligibility and if selected, are eligible to acquire their property after the “lease to own” period. After a tenant is accepted into the program, a DCD Rehabilitation Specialist creates a scope of repair work needed to ensure that the home is code compliant and that long term maintenance needs at the home are addressed. DCD then enters into contracts with general contractors to complete necessary repairs on the property prior to the tenant’s purchase. The proposed strategy ensures that both the tenant and the property are positioned for a successful transition to home ownership.

## Contracts/Projects Subject to Participation

### Completed Hip Contracts/Projects In 2017

In 2017, four HIP projects were completed and eight T3OP projects were completed.

TABLE 2A

2017 HIP Contracts/Projects	Completion Date	Total Project Costs	City Funding
1. 942 North 29th Street	7/25/2017	\$ 26,570.00	\$ 26,570.00

2. 4700 W. North Avenue	3/17/2017	\$ 61,530.00	\$ 61,530.00
3. 2330 W. McKinley Avenue	1/28/2017	\$ 103,111.00	\$ 103,111.00
4. 3307-09 W. McKinley Avenue	4/21/2017	\$ 67,550.00	\$ 67,550.00

**TABLE 3B: COMPLETED T3OP CONTRACTS/PROJECTS IN 2017**

2017 T3OP Contracts/Projects	Completion Date	Total Project Costs	City Funding
1. 4051 North 14th Street	7/25/2017	\$ 26,570.00	\$ 26,570.00
2. 1546 South 15th Street	5/26/2017	\$ 22,655.00	\$ 22,655.00
3. 4433 North 46th Street	3/1/2017	\$ 17,965.00	\$ 17,965.00
4. 3642 North 37th Street	5/26/2017	\$ 12,460.00	\$ 12,460.00
5. 5050 North 25th Street	7/24/2017	\$ 18,064.00	\$ 18,064.00
6. 3522 West Glendale Avenue	8/2/2017	\$ 22,075.00	\$ 22,075.00
7. 4031 North 23rd Street	9/6/2017	\$ 24,075.00	\$ 24,075.00
8. 4122 North 69th Street	10/25/2017	\$ 17,595.00	\$ 17,595.00

**Table 2 below summarizes the RPP achievement of each project**

Projects with RPP Targets	RPP % Required	RPP % Achieved	# of RPP Hours Worked	#SIA Hours Worked
1. 942 North 29th Street	40%	85%	980	1019.5
2. 4700 W. North Avenue	40%	50%	240	480.0
3. 2330 W. McKinley Avenue	40%	78%	1005	774.5
4. 3307-09 W. McKinley Avenue	40%	100%	735.75	269.0
5. 4051 North 14th Street	40%	100%	384	384.0
6. 1546 South 15 <sup>th</sup> Street	40%	100%	482	482.0
7. 4433 North 46 <sup>th</sup> Street	40%	100%	474.5	474.0
8. 3642 North 37 <sup>th</sup> Street	40%	100%	245	245.0
9. 5050 North 25 <sup>th</sup> Street	40%	100%	397.75	397.8
10. 3522 West Glendale Avenue	40%	100%	310.5	310.5
11. 4031 North 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street	40%	100%	82.25	82.3
12. 4122 North 69 <sup>th</sup> Street	40%	72%	220	307.0

# Socio-Economic Impact

## Worker Participation by Residency

As shown in Table 3 below, worker hours have also been broken down percentagewise by residency respective to location: within the City of Milwaukee, in the State of Wisconsin (excluding City of Milwaukee), and outside of Wisconsin.

**Table 3**

Projects	% Hours by City Residents	% Hours by Non- City Residents	% Hours by Non-State Residents
1. 942 North 29th Street	100%	0%	0%
2. 4700 W. North Avenue	100%	0%	0%
3. 2330 W. McKinley Avenue	85%	15%	0%
4. 3307-09 W. McKinley Avenue	100%	0%	0%
5. 4051 North 14th Street	100%	0%	0%
6. 1546 South 15th Street	100%	0%	0%
7. 4433 North 46th Street	100%	0%	0%
8. 3642 North 37th Street	100%	0%	0%
9. 5050 North 25th Street	100%	0%	0%
10. 3522 West Glendale Avenue	100%	0%	0%
11. 4031 North 23rd Street	100%	0%	0%
12. 4122 North 69th Street	100%	0%	0%

## Worker Participation by Zip Code

In 2017, the 53215 zip code had the highest percentage (23%) of RPP-certified workers on HIP contracts and T3OP projects.

**Table 4**

PARTICIPATION BY ZIP CODE		
Zip Code	# of Workers	% of Hours
53132	1	1%
53204	3	14%
53206	2	9%
53207	3	9%
53209	3	14%
53212	2	9%
53215	6	23%
53216	1	5%
53218	1	5%
53220	1	5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Worker Participation by Race

As shown in Table 5, Hispanics represented the highest percentage (65% respectively) of RPP-certified workers on HIP contracts and T3OP projects.

**Table 5**

Race	# of Workers	% of Workers
White	2	9%
Black	6	26%
Hispanic	15	65%
Asian	0	0%
Native American	0	0%
Unspecified	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Worker Participation by Gender

In 2017, males represented 100% of RPP-certified workers on HIP contracts and T3OP projects.

**Table 6**

Race	# of Workers	% of Workers
Male	23	100%
Female	0	0%
Unspecified	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100%</b>

## RPP Workers by Trade

In 2017, by trade, general laborers represented the highest percentage (86%) of RPP-certified workers on HIP contracts and T3OP projects.

**TABLE 7**

Trade	# of RPP Workers	# of RPP Hours	% of RPP Hours
Carpenter	2	802	14%
General Laborer	15	4755	86%
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5557</b>	<b>100%</b>



## Average Hourly Wages

In 2017, RPP residents accounted for 88% of the total hourly wages paid on HIP contracts and T3OP projects.

**Table 8**

Residency	Labor \$	% of Labor \$
RPP	\$98,594.75	88%
Non-RPP/Non-resident	\$13,783.00	12%
<b>Total Labor \$</b>	<b>\$112,377.75</b>	<b>100%</b>

## RPP New Hires

None of the contractors indicated that the workers under the above referenced projects were new hires in 2017.

## Apprentice and/or On-The-Job Training by Race

On-the-job training and apprenticeships were not applicable to this classification for the work performed under these 2 projects.

## Adjustments/Waivers

Neither adjustments nor waivers were needed or applied to the projects completed in 2017.

# Department of Neighborhood Services

---

## Summary

The Department of Neighborhood Services (DNS) requires Residents Preference Program (RPP) participation through Deconstruction projects and certain Demolition/Deconstruction related contracts that are funded through our Capital Funding and other funding sources when not restricted by law- currently Wisconsin Department of Financial Institution grant. We require contractors to utilize 40% RPP labor on their projects.

Per the requirements of City of Milwaukee Common Council File #131139, DNS has compiled information regarding the efforts of participants in 2017 on projects exceeding the goals of the RPP program. Details for each project are below.

## Contracts/Projects Subject to Participation

### Completed Contracts/Projects in 2017

In 2017, the Department of Neighborhood Services completed 2 projects in compliance with the requirements of the Residential Preference Program. The total contracted amount for both projects was \$474,305. The projects are summarized in Table 1.

**TABLE 1**

2017 Contracts/Projects	Completion Date	Total Project Costs	City Funding	Other Funding
1. DNS-2017-09/C3601709944	08-16-17	\$84,000	\$44,000	\$44,000
2. DNS-2017 C360170907	12-31-17	\$390,305	\$390,305	0

The Department Of Neighborhood Services did not adjust any of the participation percentage requirements or goals for any of the abovementioned projects.

### RPP Targets & Achievements for DNS Demolition and Deconstruction Projects

Both of the projects monitored by DNS in 2017 did not meet the \$500,000 threshold for construction projects and were held to a 40% RPP participation requirement. Table 2 below summarizes the RPP

achievement of each project, both including and excluding the bonus hours for labor from the Special Impact Areas (SIA).

**TABLE 2**

Projects with RPP Targets	RPP % Required	RPP % Achieved	# of RPP Hours Worked	#SIA Hours Worked
1. DNS-2017-09/C3601709944	40%	100%	1,555	535
2. DNS-2017 C360170907	40%	100%	2,819.55	1993

## Socio-Economic Impact

### Worker Participation by Residency

As shown in Table 3 below, worker hours have also been broken down percentagewise by residency respective to location: within the City of Milwaukee, in the State of Wisconsin (excluding City of Milwaukee), and outside of Wisconsin.

**TABLE 3**

Projects	% Hours by City Residents	% Hours by Non-City Residents	% Hours by Non-State Residents
1. DNS-2017-09/C3601709944	100%	0	0
2. DNS-2017 C360170907	100%	0	0

### Worker Participation by Zip Code

Percentages of RPP workers by Zip Code per both projects combined are listed below. Special Impact Area Zip Codes denoted with \*.

**Table 4**

PARTICIPATION BY ZIP CODE		
Zip Code	# of Workers	% of Workers
53204*	2	18%
53205*	1	9%
53208*	2	18%
53209	1	9%
53210*	1	10%
53216	2	27%

53223	1	9%
53225	1	9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Worker Participation by Race

As shown in Table 5, African-Americans represented 82% of RPP-certified workers on demolition and deconstruction projects.

**TABLE 5**

Race	# of Workers	% of Workers
White	0	0%
Black	9	82%
Hispanic	2	18%
Asian	0	0%
Native American	0	0%
Unspecified	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Worker Participation by Gender

In 2017, males represented 100% of RPP-certified workers on demolition and deconstruction projects.

**TABLE 6**

Race	# of Workers	% of Workers
Male	11	100%
Female	0	0%
Unspecified	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>100%</b>

### RPP WORKERS BY TRADE

The Department of Neighborhood Services contracted for two different project types in 2017 through which RPP hours could be achieved- Pre-Demolition Asbestos Abatement and Deconstruction. The tables below summarize participation by trade.

**TABLE 7**

Trade	# of RPP Workers	# of RPP Hours	% of RPP Hours
General Laborer (deconstruction)	8	1,555	100%
Asbestos Abatement Worker as Laborer	3	2,819.55	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4,374.55</b>	

## RPP Hourly Wages

In 2017, RPP residents accounted for 88% of the total hourly wages paid on demolition and deconstruction projects.

**TABLE 8**

Residency	Labor \$	% of Wages
RPP	\$72,677.24	100%
Non-RPP/Non-resident	0	0%
<b>TOTAL Labor \$</b>	<b>\$72,677.24</b>	

## RPP NEW HIRES

Neither Prime Contractor indicated that the workers under the above referenced projects were new hires in 2017.

## Apprentice and/or On-The-Job Training by Race

On-the-job training and apprenticeships were not applicable to this classification for the work performed under these 2 projects.

## Adjustments/Waivers

Neither adjustments nor waivers were needed or applied to these 2 projects in 2017.

## Final Analysis and Recommendations

DNS was able to utilize RPP requirements in 2 contracts completed in 2017. 100% RPP was achieved in contracts through the combined employment of 11 individuals. Of the 100% RPP realized, 57% was through workers from Special Impact Areas.