0000	1
1	COMMON COUNCIL
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4	
5	Recommendation of the Utilities and Licenses Committee,
6	
7	Renewal with a 10-day suspension of the Class "B" Tavern
8	License of DEBRA SEIBEL for the premises at
9	312 W. Plainfield Avenue, "PLAINFIELD PUB"
10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
11	
12	Proceedings had in the above-entitled
13	matter, commencing at 9:10 a.m., February 10, 2004, in
14	the Common Council Chambers, 200 East Wells Street,
15	Milwaukee, Wisconsin, reported by Jean M. Barina of
16	Milwaukee Reporters Associated, Inc.
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	JOHN A. BIRDSALL, 135 West West Wells
20	Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53203, appeared on
21	behalf of the Licensee.
22	BRUCE SCHRIMPF, Assistant City Attorney,
23	200 East Wells Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202,
24	appeared on behalf of the City of Milwaukee.
25	

00002 1 PROCEEDINGS (Whereupon the court reporter was duly sworn to execute her duties.) 4 PRESIDENT GORDON: Alderman Jim Bohl will 5 now present the report of the Utilties and Licenses 6 Committee. 7 CITY CLERK: The Utilties and Licenses 8 Committee recommends approval of a File No. 30599, 9 motion to approve recommendations of the Utilties and 10 License Committee relative to issuance, denial, 11 renewal, and non-renewal, suspension or revocation of 12 licenses and extension premises. 13 Included in a file are the following 14 recommendations: Recommendation of renewal with a ten 15 day suspension for the Class B Tavern license of Debra 16 Seibel for the premises at 312 West Plainfield Avenue, 17 known as Plainfield Pub, in the 13th Aldermanic 18 District. Written exception have been filed. 19 PRESIDENT GORDON: Have the members of the 20 Common Council read the Report and Recommendations of 21 the Utilities and Licenses Committee, and any written 22 exceptions, if file in this matter? 23 Please call the roll 2.4 CITY CLERK: Alderman Davis? 25 ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.

00003	
1	CITY CLERK: D'Amato?
2	ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Aye.
3	CITY CLERK: Bohl?
4	ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.
5	CITY CLERK: Johnson-Odom?
6	ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: Aye.
7	CITY CLERK: Donovan?
8	ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye.
9	CITY CLERK: Richards?
10	ALDERMAN RICHARDS: Aye.
11	CITY CLERK: Wade?
12	ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.
13	CITY CLERK: Dudzik?
14	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.
15	CITY CLERK: Sanchez?
16	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Aye.
17	CITY CLERK: Witkowski?
18	ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
19	CITY CLERK: Breier is excused.
20	Nardelli?
21	ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Aye.
22	CITY CLERK: Murphy?
23	ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye.
24	CITY CLERK: Hines?
25	ALDERMAN HINES: Aye.
	2

00004 CITY CLERK: Mr. President? 1 2 PRESIDENT GORDON: Aye. 3 CITY CLERK: Fourteen ayes, two excused. 4 Are any of the following or their counsel 5 present and wish to a address the council. Debra 6 Seibel? 7 MR. BIRDSALL: Present. 8 PRESIDENT GORDON: Alderman Hines moves that 9 the council go into the Committee of the Whole to hear 10 oral argugment in opposition to the Report and 11 Recommendations and a statement presented by the city 12 attorney. 13 CITY CLERK: The first item will be the 14 recommendation of renewal with a ten day suspension for the class B tavern licensr of Debra Seibel at 312 15 16 West Plainfield Avenue, known as Plainfield Pub in the 17 13 Aldermanic district. 18 PRESIDENT GORDON: Each side shall be 19 limited to five minutes and the arguments shall be 20 limited to the subject matter of the Report and 21 Recommendations and the written exception. 22 MR. BIRDSALL: Good morning, my name is John 23 Birdsall and I am the attorney for licensee Debra 24 Seibel and the premises located at 312 West 25 Plainfield, the Plainfield Pub. Good morning. We are

1 here today because the Utilties and Licenses Committee has recommended a renewal with a ten day suspension of this establishment's Class B license. They are wrong. 4 And they are wrong because they didn't hear all of the 5 evidence at the committee hearing that they should 6 have. And we are here today to give you the rest of 7 the story and ask that you renew this license without 8 a suspension. I've submitted yesterday a 9 hand-delivered package of materials containing 31 10 letters of support, including regular patrons of this 11 tavern, specifically not only just patrons, but also 12 neighbors, immediate neighbors who have addressed the 13 specific concerns raised at the committee hearing, 14 including a Milwaukee police officer, Jason Kotarich, 15 and a Milwaukee firefighter, Ron Koscovich. The 16 licensed in this case, Debra Seibel, has been in 17 business just one year. She received a notice with 18 some boiler plate language about some neighborhood 19 objections. There were seven identified objections, 20 four of which there was -- it was strictly 21 boiler plate language, four of which were absolutely 22 no evidence, whatsoever, was submitted to the committee. 23 Two of which Miss Seibel never heard about until the 2.4 day of the hearing. She didn't know who was 25 objecting, she didn't know what the objections were,

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

and she didn't know when these objections were supposed to have occurred. Specifically on those points, I'm talking about the littering charge and the damage to private property charge. As to the excessive noise, she knew there had been problems in the past, and those had been long corrected.

Well, what the committee heard was two disgruntled neighbors who came to that hearing. were the whole story. That's all Mr. Bohl, the chairman and the whole committee heard when they voted on that. I want you to look over to this side of the room. These 17 people came here today. Since you are not allowed to take into account legally the hearsay that is contained, obviously, in a letter, these people took time out of their lives and their schedules to come and talk to you. What they want you to know, what they want you to know is you didn't hear all the good things about the Plainfield Pub. You didn't hear the fact that Deb and John Seibel opened this a year ago, investing their life savings, and moved into the neighborhood to live right above the bar. You didn't hear the fact that everyone agrees, everyone of the neighbors and patrons agree, this place is the cleanest bar they've ever been in, it's the quietest. The hours are a little longer now than

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 they were under previous owners. But this is a bar that by closing time, there is hardly anybody there. The accusations of excessive noise just aren't true, the evidence just isn't there, and they want to tell you about it. They want to tell you the accusations about revving Harleys for ten to 15 minutes as told to the committee, was totally not true. Police Officer Kotarich, and he is here today, he will tell you, "I own one of the those Harleys. I don't rev it. Nobody else revs it. I work second shift. I am there at closing time, couple, three times a week. This is simply not true." Other people have written with the exact same defense. As to some have accusations that 14 this bar has had leveled at it included one single neighbor who says that she had litter in her yard. She had people urinating between the garages and that there were ashtrays on her lawn. This single person has come --came to the committee with that story without anything and all, whatsoever, to verify any of that. In fact, every single one of the these people will tell you that's just not the case. That's not what the people, the clientele in this bar is about. They are mostly elderly, The neighborhood is mostly elderly, and their clientele is. There are people that go to this place, go to the Plainfield Pub

00008 becasue that is the type of atmosphere they want, a 1 quiet one, a Cheers-like atmosphere where they can be safe, where they can socialize, and where they can 4 relax without worrying about violence, or noise or 5 parking problems. What the committee heard was a 6 sliver, a silver of the story. And these --7 PRESIDENT GORDON: Counsel, you need to wrap 8 it up. 9 MR. BIRDSALL: -- thank you. These folks 10 are here to tell you the rest. I urge you to take the 11 time and talk to them, and make up your own mind. You 12 don't have to follow and you shouldn't follow the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

committee's recommendation in this case. The facts alone warrant a renewal without a suspension. I thank you very much for your attention.

PRESIDENT GORDON: Thank you, counsel.

PRESIDENT GORDON: Thank you, counsel.

Now we will hear from Assistant City
Attorney Bruce Schrimpf.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you, Mr. President. Members. First of all, the notice in this particular case did state what the neighborhood objections were. The licensee appeared at the meeting of Utilties and Licenses and admitted to receiving such notice. She was notified in that notice as to the issues that were up, specifically littering, loitering, traffic

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

problems, excessive noise during patrons -- during and after hours, damage to private property, and conduct detrimental to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood.

Counsel has told you that the information provided to the committee was one sided and in error. Well, the licensee had notice of the hearing and she certainly had the ability to bring to the committee the people who are here today who counsel says will testify on behalf of the tavern. Let me point out to you we do have the transcript of this proceeding. He is correct, there were two neighbors that came to testify. There was a Mr. Joseph Marinella. He actually kept a log of instances between the dates of May 8th, 2003 and September, 2003 where he was awoken and disturbed by the conduct of the patrons at closing time and particularly the revving of motorcycles. He also testified that he had lived in the neighborhood 34 years, that this licensee, as counsel indicated, took over within the last year, and for the previous 33 years he had had no problems, only the last one year.

Another neighbor, Delores Beaver, testified, took her time to come down and talk to the committee. She regularly experienced the revving of bikes for ten

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

to 15 minutes at closing time and before people would leave. She has small children. That disturbed her, as well as the children. She also testified to a number of instances to include the urination between the garages -- her garage and the adjoining neighbor's garage, and patrons leaving and "whopping and hollering", that's a quote, at closing time to the disturbance of her and her family. So, the committee had evidence, clearly it had evidence that demonstrated there were problems with this tavern. The committee examined these witnesses. I think the committee came to the conclusion that these witnesses were not imagining these events or that they were lying about these events. They were really experiencing these events. The licensee offered one explanation for the bikes, namely that the bikes were coming from a neighbor who lived about five houses down, and that was refuted by the neighbors who testified that they actually saw the patrons getting on bikes at closing time and leaving. So clearly, the findings of fact of the

So clearly, the findings of fact of the committee are well supported by the evidence. Of course, it is always up to the sound discretion of this body, as to whether or not you approve the license with no suspension or impose a suspension,

00011 1 bearing in mind, that a ten day suspension is the minimum suspension that can be given should the council choose to impose the suspension. 4 I stand ready to answser any questions that 5 you may have. 6 PRESIDENT GORDON: Any questions and 7 comments for Mr. Birdsall or Mr. Schrimpf? The chair 8 recognized Alderman Michael Murphy. 9 ALDERMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 Mr. Schrimpf, was Ms. Seibel notified by the 11 neighbors concerning these complaints? The two 12 individuals who testified at the hearing indicated 13 that they brought these concerns of loud noise, 14 boisterous behavior to the owner's attention? MR. SCHRIMPF: I would have to check the 15 16 transcript, Alderman. I don't honestly recall if 17 that question was asked and if it was asked, how it 18 was answered. 19 ALDERMAN MURPHY: Perhaps I can hear from 20 the opposing counsel as to whether or not they were 21 notified or not. 22 MR. SCHRIMPF: That would be fine. 23 MR. BIRDSALL: No, they were not. They were 2.4 not at all. 25 ALDERMAN MURPHY: They were not.

2.4

MR. BIRDSALL: In fact, not only just about the Harleys, but about anything, ever. Neither one of these complaining witnesses had any contact with Ms. Seibel at all and, I just would note --

ALDERMAN MURPHY: However, was there not a neighborhood meeting held concerning this issue ever held at any time?

MR. BIRDSALL: There was a neighborhood meeting -- let's back up. When they first opened the bar, Ms. Seibel took two hundred flyers that said -- identified themselves and we are opening this place, we are going to call it the Plainfield Pub. It was going to be a nice, quiet neighborhood tavern. We are going to live there. We are investing ourselves in this neighborhood. If you have any concerns, you come see us personally, and we will take care of it. That's what she did.

These two individuals never once spoke to her, ever, until the day of the Utilities and Licensing hearing. I don't know how much contact they had with the alderperson, Alderman Witkowski, but —so whatever was relayed to them was through him, and there was concern about the noise of hearing the noise from a Harley. Not a patron, however. The testimony of Ms. Beaver notwithstanding. This was an individual

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

whose name is Chris Furhman, he lived five doors down, I believe, and worked very late in Chicago, got home about one a.m., and then he would go and work on his motorcycle and then while he was working on it, he was test driving it up and down the alleyway. Of course it's two o'clock in the morning by this point and any indication by Mrs. Beaver that this was a patron was certainly a mistake on her part. He wrote a letter identifying that. His roommate wrote a letter. both concede that that occurred. That's what resulted in the August meeting where she again went around the neighborhood, sent out flyers, there's been some concerns about the noise from some of these bikers, not patrons. We want to have a meeting for the whole neighborhood, including this problem but any other problems you have. Come and see us and Mr. Witkowski will be there. She did take steps to address that issue. To the extent that there was ever any other noise coming out of the bar itself, it was because there was opened windows. Not a problem anymore, because Ms. Seibel went to some major effort to replace all the windows and put in central air. ALDERMAN MURPHY: Thank you.

PRESIDENT GORDON: Chair recognizes Alderman

Willie Wade.

00014	
1	ALDERMAN WADE: Thank you. My question
2	is for Assistant City Attorney.
3	MR. SCHRIMPF: By the way, I just checked
4	the transcript. The question was asked and they both
5	indicated they did not talk to the bar owner. What
6	was the question?
7	ALDERMAN WADE: Excuse me. My question was
8	just for clarification purposes, what was the vote
9	coming out of committee regarding this?
10	MR. SCHRIMPF: Four to zero.
11	ALDERMAN WADE: So it was unanimous.
12	MR. SCHRIMPF: Of those that were voting.
13	Alderman Witkowski did not participate because it was
14	in his district and he was speaking.
15	ALDERMAN WADE: Okay, thank you.
16	PRESIDENT GORDON: Chair recognizes Alderman
17	Thomas Nardelli.
18	ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Mr. Schrimpf, the
19	Mrs. Beaver, was it?
20	MR. SCHRIMPF: Yes.
21	ALDERMAN NARDELLI: In the instance where
22	she claimed someone had publicly urinated, was she
23	able to testify that she saw the person leave the
24	tavern.
25	MR. SCHRIMPF: She did not testify that she

00015 1 saw the person leave the tavern. ALDERMAN NARDELLI: But she saw someone --MR. SCHRIMPF: Correct. I think she saw the 3 4 evidence of it. 5 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: She saw the evidence. 6 MR. SCHRIMPF: Right. 7 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: It's like if the dog 8 went to the bathroom on somebody's lawn, they know 9 that a dog went to the bathroom on the lawn but they 10 can't identify the dog. 11 MR. SCHRIMPF: (Nods). 12 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Thank you. 13 PRESIDENT GORDON: The chair recognizes 14 Alderman Terry Witkowski. 15 ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. 16 Chairman. I just want to bring to the committee's 17 attention that both of the individuals who testified 18 did come to me with their complaints. I did take 19 their complaints to the tavern owners, which did 20 result in the meeting. I continued to receive 21 complaints. The information submitted to the council 22 indicates that I had complained about the rear door. 23 I had also complained about the front door, and on two 24 occassion -- on one occasions after telling them you

cannot have the door open past ten o'clock, stopped in

25

00016 1 at twenty after ten to tell them that they still had their door open and that was against the law and I was greeted with static and an attitude about why should 4 they need to close the door --5 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Mr. President, this is a 6 period of time for questions, not summation. Isn't 7 there -- I mean, when we go out of the Committee of 8 the Whole we can do all this stuff. This is for 9 asking questions time. 10 PRESIDENT GORDON: That is a correct 11 statement. If you have any further questions, 12 Alderman Witkowski, please raise them at this point. 13 ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Thank you. I was just 14 trying to give clarification to statements made. PRESIDENT GORDON: Are there any questions 15 16 for Mr. Schrimpf or for Attorney Birdsall? 17 Thank you. 18 Is there any further discussion on this 19 license? 20 Alderman Nardelli. 21 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Mr. Chairman, I would 22 like to move that we go into the Committee of the 23 Whole for the purpose of asking the city attorney a 24 couple of questions with regard to the findings of 25 fact on the Plainfield license.

00017 PRESIDENT GORDON: You've heard the motion 1 of Alderman Nardelli to go into the Committee of the Whole. Any objections? Hearing none, so ordered. 4 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: My question, Mr. 5 Schrimpf as you move to THE podium, in your findings 6 of fact, you indicate that patrons have also caused 7 beer bottles, beer cans to be thrown into children's 8 play areas on private property of the neighbor. Was 9 there any testimony at the hearing that someone 10 physically witnessed that occurring? 11 MR. SCHRIMPF: No, the testimony was -- that 12 testimony came from Mrs. Beaver, who testified that 13 after evenings when the events were taking place in 14 the bar, she would pick this stuff up in her yard the 15 following morning. 16 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: And again, not identify 17 who might have done it. 18 MR. SCHRIMPF: No, sir. 19 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Okay. So she knows 20 there is urine there and she knows there is bottles 21 there and she doesn't know who did it, but she claims 22 it comes from the tavern. 23 MR. SCHRIMPF: That's right. 2.4 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Can I ask this question?

If in fact, in creating findings of fact, is it

25

00018 1 appropriate to include unsubstanciated complaints in the findings of fact? I understand you do that in the notice but - -4 MR. SCHRIMPF: It is not unsubstantiated. 5 She testified that she saw these things. She 6 testified that she saw these things after the bar was 7 operating the night before. 8 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: But she didn't witness 9 them occurring? 10 MR. SCHRIMPF: No, she did not witness 11 somebody -- she didn't testify that she witnessed 12 somebody from the bar depositing it there. 13 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Do you then, as counsel, 14 not question the appropriateness of that complaint 15 that can't be justified or substantiated? 16 MR. SCHRIMPF: I would have to check the 17 transcript, Alderman, to find out if I asked her that 18 specific follow-up question, and to be honest with 19 you, I may not have. 20 ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Thank you, Mr. Schrimpf. 21 PRESIDENT GORDON: Questions? Chair 22 recognizes Alderman Jim Bohl. 23 AB: Mr. Chairman, I would be happy to respond to Alderman Nardelli, for we are in the middle 2.4 25 of questions and I would need to come out of the

00019 1 Committee of the Whole to respond. I'll be happy to PG: Are there any other questions or 4 comments for council members. 5 Alderman Sanchez moves that the committee 6 now rise. Hearing no objection, so ordered. 7 Alderman Bohl. ALDERMAN BOHL: 8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. To 9 the best of my recollection here regarding the 10 Plainfield Club matter, the woman, Mrs. Beaver, who 11 testified had indicated that she had personally 12 witnessed some individuals deposit some of the bottles 13 and cans of beer. To my recollection, the applicant 14 herself had indicated that she did not serve those 15 types of products, but she had an understanding that 16 some of the -- a couple of the neighbors that live 17 down the way would drink on their way over to the bar 18 and she believed that they were depositing their beer 19 cans on the way over to her bar in neighbor's yards. 20 That they were guzzling down before they reached the 21 bar and would toss it before entering in, as opposed

to carrying it in and taking it to the trash can. It

is also my recollection, and I certainly would stand

corrected if Mr. Schrimpf would let me know, that she

did indicate that it wasn't that she just saw a yellow

22

23

24

25

2.4

puddle sitting on the ground in the morning, but that she had witnessed individuals between her house and bar urinating and that it was to the best of her knowledge, individuals that had come out at closing time from that bar. This is a residential neighborhood. This is a bar that sits on the corner in crest of a residential neighborhood. So, it is not a though she just saw and surmised that somehow a yellow puddle appeared, but had personally witnessed individuals making a public urinal.

ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Mr. Chairman?

PRESIDENT GORDON: Alderman Nardelli.

ALDERMAN NARDELLI: I am going to play attorney here for a minute. I like to play attorney. I just asked the city attorney to identify through the transcript if any of those two occurrences happened, and his answer to my question was, "No, Mrs. Beaver did not testify that she saw anybody saw throw the bottles, Mrs. Beaver saw no one publicly urinate." The chairman is saying completely different. And what I am trying to get to is, if you look at the findings of fact, this is a tavern not a year old in a residential neighborhood, longer hour than used to be

held before. Has some people who ride motorcycles who

go there. Certainly may be making more noise than the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

previous owner did by virtue of the fact that their patrons drive Harleys as opposed to something else. And you have the request for the ten day suspension of her livelihood, of this owner's livelihood. An unwitting owner who comes to the committee hearing prepares to know it, and I think she admitted she knew what was in the finding -- I mean, what was in the complaint, but doesn't bring along anybody to support her. So we take a downtown location that has a problem and we renew it despite that suspension. think I might have misspoken when I talked about the 90 days before, I thought it was Pure. 45. So, excuse my comments earlier. 45 days. Same time, a shooting that occurred inside a location on the same agenda, on the same stack of findings of fact, they recommend a ten day suspension for a shooting that was inside the bar. And that is what I am -- that's the thing I am talking about. It seems so unfair to now say that this Plainfield tavern should undergo a ten day suspension when virtually the complaint is noise, noise from the doors being open. Noise from people leaving the place at night and one instance of somebody who was, I assume, I can't be sure, assume was seen vomiting. And for that we are going to give this place a ten day suspension. We never had such a

thing early in my career here, that we have these 1 warning letters that are given out to patron -- to taverns that have problems, but they are not real bad. 4 Why wasn't that considered here? Why wasn't the new 5 operator afforded that opportunity, to have a warning 6 letter. I mean, if the problems are that severe, and 7 if we can believe the attorney, and he's an attorney 8 after all, if we can believe him we could probably 9 suggest that the problems with the noise were abated 10 long ago and were not continuing. So if that is the 11 case, is this any more fair? A decision to give them 12 a ten day suspension. You know, I think we are 13 hanging them high. We lower the bar so low, we give 14 ourselves absolutely no wiggle room for reasonability, 15 and I am very concerned about that. I don't know any 16 of these people from Adam. I am not an advocate for 17 tavern licenses. You all know I don't appear at 18 tavern licesn hearings unless I am going to work 19 towards shutting the place down in my area. 20 the last thing I want to do is be a party to 21 supporting a license being granted for fear there 22 could be a problem. I don't want to look like I am shilling for them. I won't do that. I haven't done 23 2.4 that in my 17 years here. I think that, again, if you 25 look at the two files we have before us today, what's

happening to the Plainfield tavern in the 13th district just seems to be unfair, and I guess I will move at this time that they also, just to be consistent with my public position, and I don't know how the committee is going to go on it, we obviously tied this with other licenses when we did the Pure one last month, but I have to do it for my own peace of mind. And I would just suggest that a warning letter be issued to Plainfield absent the committee recommendations. I'd move that the suspension be set aside, they be renewed, and that a warning letter be issued.

PRESIDENT GORDON: You've heard the motion of Alderman Nardelli. On the motion, the chair recognizes Alderman Terry Witkowski?

ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think there is a day and night difference between the two situations of McGillycuddy's and Plainfield Pub. You are looking at McGillycuddy's, located in an area of all bars without nearby residences and an establishment that has been in business 12 years, I believe, it has been mentioned. This is a first year establishment in a quiet neighborhood, surrounded by homes where there has never been a problem before. If you are looking at

volume, McGillycuddy's testified to a quarter million 1 2 people passing through and this one has somewhere 3 between eight and nine thousand a year, or 20, 25 a 4 day, is what their material reads. We did have 5 neighborhood complaints. They didn't occur once, they 6 have been consistent. They have slacked off in 7 winter. It is not that I didn't try working with 8 this group. I relayed the original complaints to the 9 tavern owner and all I was greeted with was who made 10 the complaints, I want to confont them. One of the 11 complainants had been there and had mentioned 12 something in the past and said she would never go 13 again because she had gotten the same attitude, which 14 is why she turned to me for help. Not once in the 15 times that I have talked with these bar owners did 16 they indicate that they would try to resolve the 17 problem and work with the neighbors. It has always 18 been very confrontational. Not once at committee 19 level did the bar owner say, "Yes, we have a problem. 20 We'll try and do better." Not once. I feel the 21 number of complaints have gone down only because the 22 cold weather has moved in and it is no longer too good to leave your doors and windows open. I received 23 2.4 complaints about the back door being open, I received 25 complaints about the front door being open. I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

personally stopped there about the front door being open and addressed that concern and was again greeted at the time with, "I deserve to have my front door open just like any other neighbors and they knew there was a bar there when they moved in." It is not a matter of fact that these neighbors are just against this pub, or that, as Alderman Nardelli would say, they woke up and found debris in the morning. The complaints I have been getting on a steady basis indicated that they are awoken from a sleep, that they have seen things happening outside their homes, that on summer days they hear the cussing in their back yards. I am afraid that we are really raising the bar to the fact that anybody can get under it. I have tried to work with this establishment. I have talked to them about complaints but I have not gotten a response that they will ever do better. The neighbors were also afraid of retaliation, and with the attitude that I got, I could see why. My vote on McGillycuddy's is consistent and my vote in committee on McGillycuddy's. My vote today will be consistent with my vote on committee, and I do recommend the ten day suspension be upheld. I have not necessarily seen proactive steps being taken by this bar that was mentioned by one of my colleagues in the other case.

00026						
1	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.					
2	<u> </u>					
3	PRESIDENT GORDON: Are there any other					
4	questions or comments by council members. There is a					
5	motion to rescind the recommendation of the Utilties					
6	and Licenses Committee and to recommend no suspension					
7	with a warning letter.  Let's take a roll call vote.					
8						
	CITY CLERK: Alderman Davis?					
9	ALDERMAN DAVIS: No.					
10	CITY CLERK: D'Amato?					
11	ALDERMAN D'AMATO: No response.					
12	CITY CLERK: Bohl?					
13	ALDERMAN BOHL: No.					
14	CITY CLERK: Johnson-Odom?					
15	ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: No.					
16	CITY CLERK: Donovan?					
17	ALDERMAN DONOVAN: No.					
18	CITY CLERK: Richards?					
19	ALDERMAN RICHARDS: Aye.					
20	CITY CLERK: Wade?					
21	ALDERMAN WADE: No.					
22	CITY CLERK: Dudzik?					
23	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: No.					
24	CITY CLERK: Sanchez?					
25	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: No.					

00027			
1	CITY CLERK: Witkowski?		
2	ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: No.		
3	CITY CLERK: Nardelli?		
4	ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Aye.		
5	CITY CLERK: Murphy?		
6	ALDERMAN MURPHY: No.		
7	CITY CLERK: Hines?		
8	ALDERMAN HINES: No.		
9	CITY CLERK: D'Amato?		
10	ALDERMAN D'AMATO: No.		
11	CITY CLERK: Mr. President?		
12	PRESIDENT GORDON: Aye.		
13	CITY CLERK: Two ayes, twelve nos, two		
14	excused.		
15	PRESIDENT GORDON: Two ayes, twelve nos, two		
16	excused. The motion fails.		
17	I request a vote of those council members in		
18	attendance to approve the recommendations of the		
19	Utilties and Licenses Committee as contained in File		
20	No. 0303599.		
21	Would the City Clerk please call the roll,		
22	as amended.		
23	CITY CLERK: On the file as		
24	ammended, Alderman Davis?		
25	ALDERMAN DAVIS: Aye.		

00028	
1	CITY CLERK: D'Amato?
2	ALDERMAN D'AMATO: Aye.
3	CITY CLERK: Bohl?
4	ALDERMAN BOHL: Aye.
5	CITY CLERK: Johnson-Odom?
6	ALDERWOMAN JOHNSON-ODOM: Aye.
7	CITY CLERK: Donovan?
8	ALDERMAN DONOVAN: Aye.
9	CITY CLERK: Richards?
10	ALDERMAN RICHARDS: Aye.
11	CITY CLERK: Wade?
12	ALDERMAN WADE: Aye.
13	CITY CLERK: Dudzik?
14	ALDERMAN DUDZIK: Aye.
15	CITY CLERK: Sanchez?
16	ALDERMAN SANCHEZ: Aye.
17	CITY CLERK: Witkowski?
18	ALDERMAN WITKOWSKI: Aye.
19	CITY CLERK: Breier?
20	ALDERWOMAN BREIER: Aye.
21	CITY CLERK: Nardelli?
22	ALDERMAN NARDELLI: Aye.
23	CITY CLERK: Murphy?
24	ALDERMAN MURPHY: Aye.
25	CITY CLERK: Hines?

00029 1 2	ALDERMAN HINES: Aye. CITY CLERK: Mr. President?
3	PRESIDENT GORDON: Aye.
4	CITY CLERK: Fourteen ayes, two excused.
5	* * * *
6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
25	

00030	
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
	) SS:
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE )
3	I, JEAN M. BARINA, do certify that Common
4	Council Meeting was reported by me and reduced to
5	typewriting under my direction.
6	I further testify that I have no interest
7	in said matter as an attorney or otherwise and am not
8	related to the parties interested herein.
9	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set
10	my hand and affixed my seal of office at Milwaukee,
11	Wisconsin, this day of February, 2004.
12	
13	Jean M. Barina - Court Reporter
14	My Commission Expires: 03/10/07
15	