00001	
2	CITY OF MILWAUKEE
3	UTILITIES & LICENSES COMMITTEE
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	In the Matter of the File No. 050890
6	Communication from the Milwaukee Metro Entertainment Association relative to Police Department Activities
7	and Alcohol Regulations
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	COMMITTEE MEMBERS
10	ALD. JAMES WITKOWIAK - Chair ALD. JAMES BOHL, JR., - Vice-Chairman
11	ALD. JOSEPH A. DUDZIK  ALD. ROBERT PUENTE
12	ALD. WILLIE WADE
13	LICENSING DIVISION by REBECCA BARRON NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES by PANDORA BENDER
14	HEALTH DEPARTMENT by KEVIN HULBERT POLICE DEPARTMENT by SERGAENT CHESTER ULICKEY
15 16	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY by ATTORNEY BRUCE SCHRIMPF
17 18	Proceedings had and testimony given in the above-entitled matter, before the UTILITIES &
19 20	LICENSES COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE, on the 31st day of October, 2005.

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Next is file number 050890. Communication from the Milwaukee Metro Entertainment Association relative to Police Department Activities and Alcohol Regulations. Do you have someone here to speak on this? Everybody come to the table at one time here.

Proceed.

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Actually, I was asked to be here from - - from Alderman Wade. Inspector Anna Rozinski from the Milwaukee Police Department. There's apparently some concern in regards to the PA33s or tavern reports that get filed every time an officer observes a violation or an incident occurs at a licensed premises. And that there was possibly some concern by bar owners that their legitimate calls for police service were being held against them.

In regards to that, I am here to testify that, yes, every incident that occurs at a licensed premises is filled out on a PA33 that the officers file, and as you know, goes to Sergeant Ulickey. When Sergeant Ulickey testifies in front of you, you know that he

2.4

reports the facts of those incidents. Right on that report is a box that says, "Was the license owner cooperative?" And that is checked with either a yes or no. So we can equate this much to like we do with nuisance properties, where every incident is reported. We also look at every incident individually to see if there's something that the bar owner did that caused the problem. Otherwise, those events are not held against them when they come before you for committee. So we just wanted to make that clear, that just because a PA33 licensed premises report is filed, that does not necessarily count against that establishment.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. And would the other members of the organization join us at the table? I see Fred Gordon here. Good afternoon. Welcome.

MR. GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members. Good afternoon. I want to thank you for the opportunity to appear before you this afternoon. I especially want to thank Alderman Wade for - - for facilitating this.

Several months ago our organization - - I don't know if you have our statement before

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

you. All right. Very good.

Several months ago this organization formed to basically facilitate opportunities for creating a better business climate and providing entertainment diversity throughout the City of Milwaukee. And these members have been in the entertainment business for several years. Out of the ten registered members of our group we have probably 100 years of experience in the entertainment business and running establishments here in the City of Milwaukee. And we had conversations with Alderman Wade and Alderman McGee at some of our meetings to discuss this concern. And we thought that it was important to come before the committee to basically offer testimony from these business people, so that you could hear what they have to say relative to the way that they are trying to conduct business in the City of Milwaukee. As - - as, Mr. Chairman, you know from your experience over the years and for those of the members who have licensed premises in these districts, we only have this opportunity when we come before you to basically talk about what happened at a particular moment in time, which certainly is going to affect how

1 this business is going to proceed as regards to its licensing. And now that this is the licensing committee as opposed to the U&L 4 Committee, I have to get my U&L nomenclature 5 straight here. We thought it might be a good 6 opportunity to have a discussion with you and to 7 answer any questions that you may have about what 8 it takes to run an establishment in the City of 9 Milwaukee. These business owners are taxpayers. 10 They're voters. They try to contribute as much 11 as they possibly can to the diversity and the 12 culture of our great city. And this is certainly 13 respectful of the intervention of the Milwaukee 14 Police Department. They - - What's happening now 15 is that we're experiencing, as business owners, a 16 sort of Catch-22, and I know that you've heard 17 people talk about this before. And when you make 18 a phone call to the police department, as an 19 establishment owner, and it's a call for service, 20 and it may be a major call for service because 21 it's some kind of - - kind of violent act, or it 22 may be a minor call, based on noise violations or 23 something - - something like that. So in other 2.4 words, so we have situations where the Milwaukee 25 Police Department responds to a call for service,

2.4

and then there's an incident report that's filed, and these people want to testify to you some of the concerns that they have regarding what happens after these calls for service are answered by the Milwaukee Police Department.

As my memo indicated, there's a chilling effect going on that is very disturbing, whereby business — — business owners are reluctant to contact the police department, because they're going to have several incident reports on their record, so to speak, that they'll have to answer to before this body, and it obviously is going to be a situation where they may face suspension or they may face a revocation of their license. So we thought it might be an opportunity to talk about these concerns with you, and to just share some of the experiences outside of the hearing process where you have to have an attorney or an advocate making a case for you.

And some of the members are here this afternoon, and we just want to take some time to talk about these kinds of issues, and to answer any questions that you may have, because it's becoming a major concern, I think, for licensed

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

premises owners throughout the City of Milwaukee, and this is just one of the ways that we thought we'd be able to try to address this concern and to show, you know, some of the different kinds of things that happen.

For example, there's an establishment in the Chairman's district where I was on the door one night. And I was working with one of the consortium members, just watching the door, taking tickets, and there was a call for service by someone who was not allowed inside the establishment. And this individual was not allowed in because of behavior that had taken place at some other point in time and was essentially barred from entering the establishment. This person contacted the Milwaukee Police Department, and six squad cars came to the establishment and spent about two hours there. No tickets were written. No arrests were made. And the concern, obviously, is that you have a large deployment of police officers at a particular location and nothing happens. So where are these police officers supposed to be, but for the fact that they're here at this establishment. And this is a

00008 continual kind of concern and problem that has 1 really chilled the response by owners of businesses to call the police department for fear 4 that these incident reports are going to be held 5 against them when they come before this 6 committee. And this is a personal observance 7 that I made just one night that I was helping out 8 one of the bar owners. And I sat there in 9 amazement, and there were six squad cars in the 10 parking lot right next to the establishment. 11 They had to leave, that is, the patrons left. 12 There were no arrests made and no tickets were 13 written to the business owner, but it was just 14 amazing that that amount of time was taken up by 15 six squad cars and what had to have been at least 16 seven or eight police officers. So these kinds 17 of things are happening, and we just wanted to 18 bring this kind of thing to your attention, and 19 have you respond to it. So that's all I have to 20 say for the time being, and we'll just turn it 21 over to the members here. 22 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Do the members 23 like to speak further on this? 2.4 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 25

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.

ALDERMAN WADE: Thank you. I would just like - - like to make a comment. I did meet with the organization, and they had some concerns that were interesting to me, and interesting for me to deal with, being the only African-American member of this committee. Sometimes it becomes quite interesting when we have African-Americans come to the table, and they have issues that - - that they feel that they might not be getting the - - the justification for - - for whatever the penalty is.

But I - - I personally think that sometimes when I'm looking at different things that's on the police report, if the person called, if the actual business owner called, I look at it one way. If a constituent calls, I look at it another way. If somebody who feels like they were wronged inside the establishment, I look at it a whole different way. I think we need to be kind of consistent on how we give out punitive actions, based on what really happened, and what's really wrong. My biggest fear is the sentiment that some of the business owners may not want to call the police when there's an incident going on in their establishment, and it

2.4

could escalate into something more serious. I don't want them to have the attitude that the police is not their friend, that the police does not work - - do not work for them. I want them to have the attitude that the police is there to support, there to serve, and that if they do call the police for some type of service, that that's exactly what they will get, is service from the police department as taxpayers and business owners, and not end up being - - defending themselves by participating in the method that I feel they should participate when it comes down to conducting business. So I just wanted to say that before we got started. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. Any other members?

MR. BARRY: Yes, my name is Craig Barry. A couple of the members of the Council know me from prior business ventures, The Matrix, which is a very large nightclub that we opened in 2000, Area 414, which opened last year, and now Club Escape. So I have a lot of - - a lot of experience with these situations in terms of I having had to call the police to avoid, you know,

1 something bad from happening or worsening a 2 situation. It can start off as a simple - something simple as a domestic argument between 4 boyfriend and girlfriend, and you ask one of the 5 couples, hey, you know, do - - how do you - - how 6 do you tell a man's wife, well, you got to go. 7 We gonna keep the husband here until you're off 8 the premises so the cops don't have to get 9 involved. It just, I think what's happening is, 10 it's making all of the bar owners very scared to 11 call the cops. And, you know, I heard the 12 officer earlier. I didn't catch her name, I'm 13 sorry. But she said that there's a box that the 14 officer can check that says if we were 15 cooperative or not - - or not, and it won't be 16 used against us. Well, I beg her to listen to 17 our last renewal hearing, because the same thing 18 happened, and we got a 45 day suspension, based 19 on police reports. So, a lot of officers are not 20 attorneys, so they don't really know the law. So 21 they, you know, they're just kind of filling out 22 reports as much as they can, and it's like death 23 by a thousand - - a thousand lashes, you know, 2.4 and it's - - It really is really scary, and, you know, we all talk about it all the time. We have 25

00012 a lot of horror stories to share, but I don't 1 want to bore you guys with it, but I think this is something that needs to be addressed, and it's - - it's a lot easier to do it when you're not on 5 the hot seat. And we're not on the hot seat 6 right now. None of us are up for - - for 7 renewal, and I think now is the time to have open 8 discussion, and - - and hopefully we can make 9 some kind of changes or get some kind of 10 clarification on what a tavern report is and when 11 it can be used. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 13 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes. INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: If I may respond 15 16 to that. 17 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes. 18 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: We look at every 19 single incident. Obviously, if we get called to 20 a tavern, we have to respond. Depending upon 21 what that call is is going to determine the 22 amount of officers that get sent. Now, the 23 instance that Mr. Gordon was talking about, 24 certainly a supervisor could have been called to

the scene to find out what the problem was, or we

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

have always encouraged business owners to call the district captain to see if there's a problem that can be alleviated.

When you look at places like The Matrix, and nobody knows better than I, because I worked on - - on The Maze, as a nuisance property and - - and closing that down. We certainly look to the owners to be cooperative. We encourage them if they need the police, to call the police and get the proper response and help that they need. Now, if there is a certain format or something that's going on at that tavern that contributes to the problem, such as consistently having over capacity or something of that nature, we encourage the bar owners to work with the district captains to try and get rid of the problem. If they don't, the police department certainly can't be their private security. But in the same respect, we want to make sure that if they need the police there, if it is for a domestic disturbance, a fight, whatever, that the police respond and help take care and diffuse that situation.

ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Dudzik.

00014 1 ALDERMAN PUENTE: The incident you just mentioned with the six squads, don't they have it where after so many squads are dispatched to a 4 scene, a sergeant is automatically dispatched or 5 don't they have that anymore? 6 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: That's not always 7 the case. I don't know why these squads 8 responded, and that's the hard thing. It's hard 9 for me to address that situation without knowing 10 the particulars of it. Had we known either that 11 night or even the next day, if that bar owner 12 would have called the district captain and said, 13 "Why did this go on," and got some explanation, 14 it certainly would have been easier. But I'm not 15 - - I'm not going to make that judgment without 16 knowing specifics. 17 ALDERMAN PUENTE: So some of these 18 squads come just on their own rather than being

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

dispatched.

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: That may be possible. It depends what the call is. I don't know what the call was.

> ALDERMAN PUENTE: Okay. Thank you. MS. GARCIA: Excuse me. That inci - -CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You've got to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

identify yourself.

MS. GARCIA: I'm Jennifer Garcia. Viva La Femme, your district, Mr. Witkowiak. That was my business, and knowing what I know and the reason why we are here right now, my reaction and even with Mr. Gordon there, was I'm not going to go out there and talk to the cops until they ask for me. You know. Because I'm running the business inside. I don't know what was going on outside. There weren't multiple people outside. There wasn't any kind of congregation or loitering outside in the parking lot. And, of course, when you have a bunch of people on a Saturday night partying, they don't - - they're not going to be in the parking lot when there's six squads in the parking lot. So, because I didn't call them and I didn't really know what the situation was, and I had a Saturday night going, my reaction was I'm not going to go out there until they ask for me.

So with the fear of having a tavern report that would be against me, regardless if the police officer - - officer checked the box, "She was cooperative." The point is when I sit in front of you for my renewal, I'm going to have

a file with police reports in it. So. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You may have, even though you didn't go out there to talk to them.  MS. GARCIA: Exactly. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm sure there was some  MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that she	00016	
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You may have, even though you didn't go out there to talk to them.  MS. GARCIA: Exactly. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm sure there was some  MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	1	a file with police reports in it. So.
MS. GARCIA: Exactly. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm sure there was some MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	2	
5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm sure there was 6 some 7 MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the 8 police, for some reason, need to clear my parking 9 lot or my property afterwards. I might get a 10 bill on Monday morning from the police department 11 saying that they performed personal services, 12 that I did not ask for. So. 13 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 15 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why 16 she got billed under those circumstances for 17 personal services? 18 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if 19 if her 20 ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just 21 in general. Let's say, you were billing 22 somebody, not her. Why does the police 23 department do that? 24 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	3	though you didn't go out there to talk to them.
MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	4	MS. GARCIA: Exactly.
MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	5	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm sure there was
police, for some reason, need to clear my parking lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	6	some
lot or my property afterwards. I might get a bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	7	MS. GARCIA: Or just like if the
bill on Monday morning from the police department saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	8	police, for some reason, need to clear my parking
saying that they performed personal services, that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	9	lot or my property afterwards. I might get a
that I did not ask for. So.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why  she got billed under those circumstances for  personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if  if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just  in general. Let's say, you were billing  somebody, not her. Why does the police  department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	10	bill on Monday morning from the police department
ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.  CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why  she got billed under those circumstances for  personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if  if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just  in general. Let's say, you were billing  somebody, not her. Why does the police  department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	11	saying that they performed personal services,
CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.  ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why  she got billed under those circumstances for  personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if  if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just  in general. Let's say, you were billing  somebody, not her. Why does the police  department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	12	that I did not ask for. So.
ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why she got billed under those circumstances for personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	13	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair.
she got billed under those circumstances for personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	14	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente.
personal services?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if  - if her  ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just  in general. Let's say, you were billing  somebody, not her. Why does the police  department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	15	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Can you explain why
INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if  19 if her  20 ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just  21 in general. Let's say, you were billing  22 somebody, not her. Why does the police  23 department do that?  24 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	16	she got billed under those circumstances for
19 - if her 20 ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just 21 in general. Let's say, you were billing 22 somebody, not her. Why does the police 23 department do that? 24 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	17	personal services?
ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	18	INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't know if
in general. Let's say, you were billing somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	19	if her
somebody, not her. Why does the police department do that? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that	20	ALDERMAN PUENTE: A general thing, just
department do that?  INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that		in general. Let's say, you were billing
24 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that		somebody, not her. Why does the police
	23	department do that?
25 she		INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: The only way that
	25	she

00017 ALDERMAN PUENTE: She didn't ask for 1 2 it. 3 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Right. The only 4 way that she would be billed for police 5 department services is if her particular 6 establishment has been declared a nuisance under 7 80-10, and she has not worked with the district 8 captain in order to alleviate the problem. 9 ALDERMAN PUENTE: That's what I needed 10 to hear. Thank you. 11 MR. BARRY: Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 13 MR. BARRY: I just want to add one more 14 thing. This - - You know, the reason we're here 15 is not just to discuss how and when it's being 16 used, in terms of tavern report from the 17 officer's end. It's the inconsistencies of how 18 it's being used against us at renewal. Because 19 I've seen some - - some other bars that were up 20 for renewal in the downtown area that caters to 21 the Hip-hop crowd that this licensee was patted 22 on the back for calling the cops. And her - - Or  $\,$ their police report was pretty extensive. So, I 23 24 mean, so it's a couple reasons why - - why we're 25 here.

00018 1 We need to figure out how and when it can be used fairly with all the licensees in the City of Milwaukee. I just want to throw that in 4 there. 5 MR. MCNEAL: Mr. Chair. 6 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 7 MR. MCNEAL: And I don't really think 8 we're here to say - -9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You have to 10 identify yourself for the record. 11 MR. MCNEAL: My name is Thomas McNeal. 12 I am the owner and operator of the Club Escape, 13 former Area 414. And we're not here to 14 necessarily say that you guys, the committee is 15 not fair or - - or completely in - - or 16 inconsistent, but I watch these hearings very 17 often, and I have personally noticed the 18 inconsistencies. And I have to say that I 19 listened to or watched a hearing where a police 20 report was read off. I'm sure you all remember 21 how extensive my police report was from my first year in operation. Well, there was another that 22 23 was read off just as extensive. Downtown 24 Milwaukee, mind you. And I think they walked out 25 with a warning letter. They had some citations,

00019 but they left with a warning letter. I left with 1 a 45 day suspension, a 15 and a 25. Actually it was a 15 and a 30, equaling 45 days. That - -That almost bankrupted me for the summer. I'm 4 5 still trying to make up for - - for that. 6 So basically, I guess what - - what 7 we're saying here is it's not so much the police 8 reports, but how you view the police reports. Do 9 you view a police report as a violation, which 10 I've heard an alderman on this committee tell a 11 licensee after reviewing his police report, in 12 the end he called them violations. When as I listened to the - - to the hearing, I heard 13 14 nothing about a violation. Only about the police 15 reports. But it was viewed as - - They were 16 viewed as violations. Are they, in fact, 17 violations? I guess that's the long and short of 18 my question. Do you view police reports as 19 violations? 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm - - Oh, thank 21 you very much. I'm hoping that that was just a 22 23

2.4

25

mistake made by - - made by someone in discussion here. I certainly don't - - I certainly don't look at each incident as a violation.

I look, actually - - I - - I usually

00020 1 only look at the convictions themselves, because a lot of times a case is brought in and it's dismissed in court. And as far as I'm concerned, 4 if - - if you went to court and a judge took a 5 look at it and said there's nothing here, then 6 there's nothing there for - - for whatever 7 reason, however that happened. I don't - - In my own - - When - - When I make a voter decision, as 8 9 one of the five members of this committee, I 10 don't - - I don't consider .. 11 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Each member has 13 his own style, so to speak. 14 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 16 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Thank you. I look at 17 the totality of the circumstances at each and 18 every incident that occurs at a tavern. When we 19 refer to the police report, it doesn't 20 necessarily mean a tavern report. You could have 21 received citations, and depending on the outcome 22 of those citations and arrests. Shootings are a 23 big thing for me. Any weapon charges are a big 24 thing for me. Any type of drugs, especially 25 cocaine. If there's people on your premises with

any type of drugs or that, that's a big incident for me. Another one is underage. I think it's the responsibility of the tavern owner to be sure that they're running a proper place, and underage is a big thing. Especially when the police come in and have to do a "sting," because I know that most of the time they don't catch - - They might just be getting the tip of the iceberg when they do those stings, and there's a lot of effort put into it.

So, again, it depends on the totality of the circumstances that we look at. And do I weigh in when the owner of the tavern calls? I definitely do. Because that shows me that they care. And the other thing is the people who live in and around these areas that come and testify before us, for and against it. We weigh - I weigh that very heavily, also.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please.

MR. FOLKS: Eric Folks. Owner of a restaurant and bar. I guess we're not really here to - - to bash anybody or anything like that. But, I mean, in order to - - I think for our businesses to - - to thrive and last for a long time, we definitely need to make sure that

1 we're taking care of our business. And I think 2 it's a great thing to be able to call the police, but, you know - - You know, like when they were 4 doing all these tavern stings, maybe, you know, 5 checking for capacity. I think that when you get 6 to the point where your police are coming in and 7 they're going behind your bar, it's - - it just 8 doesn't look nice, you know. I think there are 9 certain things that, in the - - certain things 10 are - - Maybe we need to have our licenses posted 11 certain - - certain places so that they don't - -12 I mean, if my customers are back there. I've had 13 customers, like, you know, "I'm not coming back 14 here. You have police in here every other 15 weekend." Checking the same licenses. You know. 16 I don't think that's something that should happen 17 on - - on the regular. And mine is a restaurant. 18 It's not - - It's not like we've - - we don't 19 have people standing outside of the - - out of 20 the restaurant every day. But, you know, just 21 some of those things. I mean, you know, people, 22 they just stop coming when they start seeing 23 police there all the time. I think it's good to 2.4 be able to call the police and have a good 25 relationship, but, you know, how do we - - I

00023 1 mean, you think of cities like New Orleans, where they, you know, they have, you know, entertainment all the time. And how they handle, and how do we get to the point where we can be an 5 entertainment city, and also, be able to, you 6 know, not be afraid to call the police and things 7 of that nature. Me, personally, I haven't had 8 that many run-ins or anything like that with the 9 - - with the police, but, you know, it's still 10 that concern for everybody in our group. You 11 know, we should be able to, you know, run a 12 business. And you're going to have the nuts that 13 are going to come in there. They should be put 14 on some type of list where they don't go into 15 another bar anywhere in the - - the City of 16 Milwaukee, you know. Why can't we figure 17 something out, figure some type of way out that, 18 you know, we can cover those types of things, you 19 know. We know, I mean, as bar owners, we know 20 who's the troublemakers, you know. How do we get 21 to something like that? 22 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair. 23 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade. 2.4 ALDERMAN WADE: When you say you know 25 who the troublemakers are, do you mean, your

00024 1 patrons - - your patrons or - -MR. FOLKS: No, I'm just saying, you know, someone does something in your 4 establishment, you know, I'm sure you're going to 5 get their name and information and stuff like 6 that. We could have those people put on a list, 7 where you would know, have a picture of them 8 across, you know, in every single bar, you know. 9 I don't know if that is something that can 10 possibly happen, but, you know, it would be 11 something to work towards. 12 ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes, Alderman 14 Wade. 15 ALDERMAN WADE: I'd like to ask the 16 police department, what - - what is the procedure 17 for tavern squad when they come into a bar? Are 18 they required to come in in a professional manner 19 where they seek out the owner or the manager of 20 the bar, acknowledge them, acknowledge 21 themselves, or are they - - Is it standard for 22 them to just walk into the bar and walk behind 23 the bar and start questioning people and, you 24 know, things of that nature? What - - What's the

policy on that? How should they conduct

25

themselves?

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: I don't - - I don't know that there is a set policy, but 4 certainly they should conduct themselves 5 professionally. I, as an officer, the first 6 thing I would do is - - is walk in and ask the 7 bartender, "Is the owner here," or, "Are you the owner, " or, "Is there somebody in charge I could 8 9 talk to?" Certainly, that's the proper thing to 10 do. I would say if there's any officers who are 11 just walking into bars and - - and walking behind 12 the bar and not saying a word and that type of 13 thing, that, you know, that captain should be 14 made aware of that. Because we should work 15 cooperatively with the business owners, and we 16 should be professional when we go in there. 17 Certainly, by city ordinance we are allowed to go 18 in and do tavern checks, and we should do them on 19 a regular basis. And I would think that owners 20 to - - to an extent would welcome that. 21 Obviously, that's not always the case. But 22 certainly at the very least, I believe, the 23 officers should go in and - - and find who is in 2.4 charge that night and deal with that person on a 25 professional level.

2.4

ALDERMAN WADE: So if that's not the case, then that's something that you recommend should be brought to the attention to the captain of that - - that area, and that's something that should be addressed to that officer.

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Absolutely. And I will go back and talk to the Deputy Chief of Patrol, who will get it out to the captains, that they should make sure that their officers are acting professionally when they enter establishments.

ALDERMAN WADE: And I - - I ask that, because - - I don't know about my colleagues, but I get that call a lot from business owners, that the police go into their establishment and don't even respect them as the owner of the establishment, or don't even seek out the manager, the person that's in charge. But just go through the - - the establishment like they own the place. And that happens - - I get that call at least probably seven - eight times a year.

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: And you have to realize, too, Alderman, though, as an officer walks in, depending upon what they observe, it

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

may detail them to take action right away before they can get to that owner. If they see underage drinking, if they see exchange of drugs, anything like that, where they may have to take police action first, secure that situation, and then seek out the owner.

ALDERMAN WADE: Which - - Which I think is what they should do. But as far as their interaction with the person that's in charge in the - - in the bar, that, regardless to what, should be conducted in a professional manner, I would think. So when you're talking to the bar owner or the manager, you should be talking in a respectful way. You shouldn't be - - come into their establishment, giving them directions of what they should be doing. They should establish a relationship, and then move forward from there. And I'm getting calls to my office that - - that a lot of the officers are going into the bar - -They're going in like - - like - - like he said, they - - they was just in - - into - - in a bar last week. They know what the - - what the capacity is. They know what the - - the expiration date of the license is. They already know that, and here they are in this bar again,

2.4

going through this routine again, and this has happened, well, at least I have gotten calls, that this has happened at a lot of different places in my district. And for me it's frustrating, because I really have no jurisdiction over what the police do along those lines, other than telling the bar owner or the manager to call the captain and make sure they get the information and they find out the badge number, et cetera, et cetera.

But it's just the whole idea that this is a issue that we're dealing with from the Milwaukee Police Department, who should be the most professional out of all of the departments. It's - - It's somewhat a concern of mine. I'm wondering what - - what can we do to just avoid this altogether?

MR. FOLKS: And can I say one more thing here? I mean, I was at Club Havana about a week ago, and the police came in. They were saying the music was too loud. And they were playing drums, you know, this is an entertainment district. And they said they had some people calling in saying that the music was too loud. Well, the police they came in, and they were in

00029 the owner's face like, you know, growling. I'm 1 like, what is going on, you know. What type of, you know, what - - what makes - - That would make 4 me really back off and say, okay, I'm not going 5 to call the police. Because, I mean, for some 6 drums that they were playing. I thought that was 7 like really unprofessional and really rude the 8 way that they treated that owner. And, you know, 9 it's not my place. So, you know, I could care 10 less. I mean, I care, but, you know what I'm 11 saying, it's like, what would make that person 12 want to continue to do what he's doing to, you 13 know, bring some sparkle to Milwaukee and - -14 and, you know, have a bar that they can go smoke 15 or, you know, a cigar or whatever. You know, 16 but, you know, if the police are coming on that 17 strong to a person there, you're talking about, 18 you know, the music is too loud, you know, when 19 is "music too loud?" And they say 75 feet away 20 from your - - your door front. 21 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Right. But that's 22 a city ordinance. So if somebody calls to make 23 that complaint, we certainly have to investigate. 2.4

MR. FOLKS: So it's not something

25 that - - 00030 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: And if it is not 1 within the ordinance guidelines, then the owner is asked to turn the music down or whatever, or 4 they can risk getting a citation. But again, 5 too, owners also have the option, if for some 6 reason they feel that the officers are being rude 7 or discourteous, they can request those officers 8 call a supervisor to the scene right away. And 9 that supervisor may be able to alleviate that 10 situation. I will certainly on my end take care 11 of it, and make sure that the patrol bureau is 12 made aware of the feelings to see if we can start 13 repairing some of those relations right away. 14 But, again, you know, the best thing to do is to notify the captain of that particular district, 15 16 and say hey, you know, what's going on. What's 17 - - Let's find out and let's work this out 18 together, absolutely. 19 ALDERMAN PUENTE: Mr. Chair. 20 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 21 ALDERMAN PUENTE: You could also file a 22 citizen complaint, can't you? INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Absolutely. 23 2.4 Anybody has the right to file a complaint against 25 any officer for being discourteous and uncivil

00031 1 and rude towards you. Absolutely. MS. GARCIA: Can I give another example of my interaction with the police? 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 5 MS. GARCIA: Last year I did get a 6 violation, and was served a ticket. I was not 7 given the ticket right then that night. The police officer had called me and said, "When can 8 9 I come to your establishment and give you the 10 ticket?" And this - - The tick - - The violation 11 was on a Saturday. I got the phone call on 12 Sunday and told them, "I'm closed on Mondays." 13 I'm hosting a small reception for a group of bar 14 owners, but I will not be available. Okay. We 15 made an appointment to meet at my establishment 16 the following Tuesday around seven o'clock. 17 Monday, during my closed private reception for 18 bar owners, I had five uniform police officers 19 come to the door to come in to serve me my 20 ticket. So I'm just - - That's why we're here, 21 you know, just so that we can voice these type of 22 things. And, like, you know, I was extremely 23 surprised to see them. My lights weren't on. 24 The only people that were in the bar were the bar 25 owners who knew to meet at my location at that

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

certain time. I had a scheduled appointment to meet with them, and like I said, I - - And I know it doesn't take five officers to come and hand me a paper ticket. But this is something that did happen. So.

MR. MCNEAL: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes.

MR. MCNEAL: Just - - Just one last statement. It's kind of putting us at a disadvantage, because we've got some of the - the - - the unruly patrons that - - that come into our establish - - establishments. Kind of - - They're of getting the idea that we're not going to be really quick to call the police, because they know that we're afraid to an extent of how that will affect us when we come to see you guys, when we come before this committee. So when - - when - - when things happen, we have patrons that will actually whisper one to another, "Don't worry about it. They're not going to - - They're not going to make that call, not too fast." Because I think they're just kind of getting wise to the fact that we're not a friend to the police department, or should I say the police department is not a friend to us. And

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with that perception, then we feel that once that happens, we come before you, then you're not a friend to us either, because you feel we're using the police resources unnecessarily, or too much police resources when the police is pretty much drained for resources as it is. So, that's my final statement. Thank you very much.

MR. MARTIN: My name is Malcolm Martin of Mixer's Sports Bar, 3430 North 84th Street in Alderman Bohl's district. This is my partner.

MS. HILDENBRAND: My name is Lynn

Hildenbrand.

MR. MARTIN: We had a situation that occurred October 12th of this month, where three men attempted to rob me at gunpoint in our bar. This occurred at 1:55 a.m. I made a 9-1-1 call at 1:55 a.m. outside of the bar. At the time I saw the men with the gun, I ran out of the bar. My first desire and my first action was not to call the Milwaukee Police Department. Main reason being I did not want the problems that occur when those policemen are called, even to an armed robbery scene. Like, actually, my - - If anybody were to check my cell phone records, because I made the 9-1-1 call from my cell phone

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

outside the bar, you would see that I called an off-duty police officer's cell phone number, first, who is a friend of mine, for guidance, before even calling the police department.

My second desire was to call my alderman, before even calling the police. Again, because I know and I've heard the horror stories that come along with calling the police to the scene of a crime at your bar. What eventually happened, the police, three squads arrived at 2:10 a.m., 15 minutes after a 9-1-1 call. The officers arriving at the scene spoke to the - -One suspect actually was still on the premises at the time the police arrived, 15 minutes after they were called. He was taken into custody. Placed in the back of a police squad car. He was not handcuffed. He was allowed to sit in the car with his cell phone. At that time he called his wife to the scene of the crime. He called the two suspects who got away from the scene back to the crime. One suspect returned with his wife. The wives of these suspects were allowed to walk around the crime scene and began to question the victims, myself and my partner, at the crime scene, while one suspect was placed in the back

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seat and stayed in the back seat, speaking on a cell phone. And in my thinking, calling people and getting the story straight as to what story they were going to go with.

After approximately an hour and a half to two hours of the police officers questioning the suspects, one officer came into the bar. The officer who came into the bar did not question me for an hour and a half to two hours. And her statements to me immediately were, "This place has been a problem since it opened. I'm going to write a tavern report on this place, and it may affect your licensing when you go up for relicensing next year." I was completely floored. I asked her what was she basing her statement on. She stated, "Word of mouth from other officers." I asked her if she had any know - - any knowledge of any police reports taken or written about Mixer's Sports Bar, and she said she did not. She's only going on what she heard.

I informed her there are absolutely no tavern reports that we know of written about our establishment in the last two and a half years. She said she did not know that. She went on to listen, and I did - I did - I then asked her,

1 does she know what occurred at the premises that morning, at 1:55 a.m. She admitted she did not. After being on the scene for an hour and a half to two hours. I then asked her if it was okay if 5 I explained to her what went on that night, that morning. She said, "Yes." After I explained to her the situation, she said, "This is the first 7 I'm hearing of this situation." Even after being 8 9 on the scene with two other officers and a 10 sergeant, who left the scene that night. I asked 11 her why did the sergeant leave? She said because 12 the armed robbery was unsuccessful. I asked her 13 if it was possible to call a detective to the 14 scene. She said she couldn't do that. The 15 sergeant had to do that. I then - - I then 16 informed her that there were many bars in the 17 area that have been robbed at gunpoint in a two 18 mile radius over the last couple of weeks. I 19 also told her that one police officer, a 20 Milwaukee police officer was shot in an attempted 21 armed robbery just less than two miles from my 22 bar around closing time at another bar. And I 23 asked her is it - - could the two incidents be 2.4 related? And was it possible to call the 25 detective, who was investigating that situation,

2.4

to this scene to possibly identify or even talk to the suspects you had on the scene. And she said, "No."

In the last - - Now, I want to end with that situation. In the last two weeks, I believe, in a two mile radius of our bar, approximately six to seven armed robberies have occurred at other bars. No detective investigating any of those robberies has contacted me regarding the individuals who attempted to rob my bar to question me regarding the situation. I have no idea why detectives or officers can't seem to draw a nexus between the incidents occurring in the same area.

This is beyond my alderman. There's no more I can ask of my alderman. He responds to our calls when we call the City Hall. He responds to our calls when we call his home. There's nothing else he can do. Because I know they are separate entities. So I know some people here have been saying contact your captain, or file a complaint against the officer. If you file a complaint against the officer, you're filing a complaint against the officer who is investigating the crime that occurred at your

1 establishment. Any way you spell it, that's not making good sense. If you contact the captain, which we did, you're only contacting the captain 4 to acknowledge to the captain that you know that 5 the process is going on, and you will sit and 6 wait until the process is reported back to you. 7 So you don't want to make anybody at your district angry. You don't want to make the 8 captain angry. You don't want to make the 9 10 officer who is investigating the situation angry. 11 But I do have the initial police report from that 12 night. I cannot receive the complete written 13 report for two to three weeks until it's scanned 14 into the system. So it will be premature for me 15 to make a complaint against these officers, it 16 would be premature for me to make a complaint 17 against the police department, because I don't 18 have the information. After being at the scene 19 for an hour and a half to two hours, there is a 20 one sentence narrative here written by the police 21 officer. "No subject who was inside a public 22 tavern was accompanying another subject who 23 displayed a handgun inside of said tavern under 2.4 circumstances which would tend to call to provoke 25 a disturbance." After two hours of being on the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

scene, this is the report that was written. And my partner was there present when the officer threatened to write a tavern report against us if we - I'm not - I'm not sure what her words were at the - -

MS. HILDENBRAND: Excuse me. When she first came in the bar she said, "If you're making any false statements, that she was going to file," against Malcolm for making false statements. To me, it was totally out of order. Because if someone has a gun in your face and you run out of your bar, they're not making a false statement. You're fleeing the scene so you don't get shot. You're thinking of your life. You leave your business and your livelihood sitting there, and you run outside the door. So you know that this is not a false statement. And, yes, I did call both, you know, Alderman Bohl and our captain the next day. Had good conversations with both of them, but this report is kind of crazy to me, a one sentence. And the female officer who wrote the report, she was the last person to hear what happened. She was the one who came in and made the accusations that she's going to file a complaint against us and file a

2.4

tavern report against us, when, in fact, we called the police because we thought we were being robbed. It just doesn't make any sense.

And we cooperate with the police when they're there. We have a good relationship with our alderman, with our police captain and the tavern squad that comes into our bar. And this was really totally like a slap in the face when you're here trying to protect yourself. Anybody, any customers mad at us, any neighbor who is mad at us, and they'll be there in a minute. We call the police, and it takes quite some time, and it's an armed robbery attempt. The gun was there. Malcolm saw the gun. I was not there to see the gun, but I came up there, before the police were there. To - To see what was going on.

But we just really want - - I guess, we want to cooperate. We want to be able to keep our businesses. These are our livelihoods. This is what we do for a living. And if people don't like bars, we're sorry, but this is - - We provide entertainment and a place for people to come and a good safe environment. You know, we have plenty of security at our place. We have

00041 1 security in the parking lot and inside the bar. We cater to a 30 and over crowd, so we're not allowing certain people in our bar, because we 4 don't want the problems that comes with a certain 5 type of clientele. We know - - We can judge 6 what's going on. And if you don't have an ID, 7 you're not allowed in the bar, period. We just 8 want better response in our relationships and a 9 better report than this. And not to come into our bar and say, "If you're filing a false 10 11 report, you're the one who is going to be in 12 trouble." I just think that was really out of 13 order. 14 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes. 15 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: And I agree with 16 17 you. The officer had no reason to say that, and 18 that's why it's important to call the captain, 19 and you can't look at it as calling the captain 20 as getting them angry at you because those are 21 the police officers responding. You deserve 22 respect as a bar owner, and you should get that 23 respect.

In regards to some of the other statements made, to assume that the detective

2.4

25

2.4

bureau doesn't look and draw a nexus between all our robberies, that happens on a regular basis, daily basis. All crimes are looked at, and they're looked at at anything that's in a geographical area, anything that looks alike with suspects. And they will start a case management if they find that some robberies or shootings or other incidents may be involved. So, yes, that does go on. Does the street level officer - - was she aware of that? Obviously not in this situation. But just to - - to assume that that doesn't happen because she said it didn't happen isn't necessarily true.

MR. MARTIN: Actually, I'm assuming it didn't happen because no police detective has contacted me who has investigated the armed robberies in the area of my business.

INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: But you have to realize at - - at this point they may not have the need to talk to you yet. That doesn't necessarily mean they're not looking at the situations in and around the area and drawing those conclusions. If they need to talk to you, they certainly would. And I will be more than happy to look at this instance and have somebody

00043 1 get back to you. Absolutely. MR. MARTIN: Well, apparently - - I understand. I appreciate your comments, and I 4 appreciate your efforts. But even you, as an 5 officer, a chairman, I have no idea what you can 6 do with this one sentence narrative regarding our 7 situation. No idea. 8 MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf. 10 MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. For the 11 benefit of the group that's here, one of my other 12 responsibilities when I'm not here is to advise 13 the Board of Fire and Police Commissioners. And 14 I know that there has been some mention made of 15 the fact that it's possible to file a complaint, 16 a citizen complaint involving officers. 17 There's actually two ways of doing it. 18 One is under a statute, and the other one is 19 under a charter ordinance of the City of 20 Milwaukee. One implicates the very job of the 21 officer. The other implicates much more - - much 22 lesser discipline, although it could include disc 23 - - up to and including discharge. 2.4 There is also a procedure that the 25 Board of Fire and Police Commissioners has in the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

process of handling those complaints, because they do get those complaints. And part of that, Mr. Chairman, is to have an informal meeting, which is voluntary. It's not required. Between the officer and between the citizen complainant in an effort to resolve whatever it is that has occurred. And it's under Rule 17 of the Board of Fire and Police Commissioners rule of - - rules of procedure. You can go on the Internet, click on Fire and Police Commission and get a copy of it. And, you know, I - - I just call that to your attention as another avenue that might be open to you, if you feel that you've been wronged by a police officer. Though I agree with Inspector Rozinski that talking to the district captain might be, quite frankly, the speediest and most efficacious way of handling it.

MR. GORDON: Counselor, in your experience do you have any number as far as that kind of procedure being done? Is that something that most people know about and that take advantage - - take advantage of?

MR. SCHRIMPF: If the person comes in - - The people with complaints, if they come in to the Board of Fire and Police Commissioners,

they are informed about that. I mean, they can be informed about that with a simple phone call to the Fire and Police Commission. So, so far as I know, the Fire and Police Commission over the course of my association with it has about four or 500 cases that it has handled over the years. And so far as I know, that procedure was made available to each and every one of them.

MR. BARRY: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes.

MR. BARRY: I'm just going to ask just as perfect - - I mean, I think it's just a kind of this question. If you take a tavern report and you say there's a box that you can check that states that the owner, the establishment was cooperative, yes or no. Or if it was something that we initiated, we initiated the police contact. Why do they read those to our renewal hearing if they're not going to be held against us? Wouldn't that make sense to not even, I mean, just leave that out of the record?

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Let me give you an example here, just, you know, thinking about this. Let's say there's a disturbance that starts inside your place, because there's some

people that were in your establishment that got out of line. And you decide to - - You decide to call the police. You think it rises to the level that you should call the police. That, in fact, is an incident that occurred inside your place, and just because you called the police instead of somebody else that was there, that shouldn't make it any - - that shouldn't make it any more considered or less considered, when - - when it comes to the table here. Do you follow what I'm saying?

MR. BARRY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: But, I mean, the discussions we're having here are extremely valuable, because I - - I want the other members of the committee to understand that just because - - just because the police come to a place, doesn't mean that that's a problem or - - But it's - - It's actually it's the - - It's - - It's at the discretion of the individual members on this committee how they - - how they read that into the report. And I think that this, the discussion we're having here today gives us quite good insight into situations that can happen that - - that are of no fault of your own that the

2.4

police arrive there, and that can - - You show us in some cases, that can put a few lines in the report that appear to go against you. That is problematic.

MR. BARRY: But, I mean, it's sort of like looking - I mean, that's - I mean, that's - I mean, that's - I understand what you're saying, and I respect that. But it's kind of - You know, I'm just looking at like the due process that we have coming. When you have five people who basically can decide whether you open or close, and you have five different opinions or approaches of how they look at the tavern report, doesn't give us much hope - hope, for lack of a better word, I mean, I - What we're trying to do is figure out what the pattern is or what the protocol should be and try to get some kind of consistency here, so we know how to operate. Because we should not - I mean, that's a horror story.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Yes, it is.

MR. BARRY: I have - - We have one where someone followed Tom home. He had to leave there - - He had to leave on two wheels he was going so fast, but he was scared to call the cops. So, I mean, it's - - it's scary that we

```
00048
1
         have to operate like that. And - - And why
2
          aren't tavern reports being used in other
         businesses like Walgreen's. Some Walgreen's,
4
         they get robbed once a week. Some gas station
5
         get robbed once a week. You're not going to take
 6
         their license because of the police reports. So,
 7
          I mean, it's - - it's so many inconsistencies
8
         because we're bar owners. We're being kind of
         held to our own standard. Why, I don't know.
9
10
         I'm still trying to figure that out.
11
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Well - -
12
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman.
13
                   CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf.
14
                   MR. SCHRIMPF: Oh, okay. First of all,
15
          taverns occupy a special place in state law, and
16
         they occupy a special place in Federal law.
17
         Because there was a time when there was no
18
         alcohol, whatever, and that ended in 1933. And
19
         prohibition specifically, when it was repealed,
20
         specifically used the word, "prohibited." In
21
         other words, if you deal in alcohol beverages
22
         within a state in violation of the state laws,
23
         it's prohibited. So prohibition gave control of
2.4
         alcohol back to the states. The state
25
         legislature, every state legislature dealt with
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

it slightly differently. But Wisconsin in 1933 adopted the forerunners of what is our present statute. And gave specifically the power to governing bodies, and specifically enacted or authorized governing bodies of municipalities to come up with ways of further regulating the industry. And that evolution of law is what you're dealing with right now.

Insofar as the tavern reports are concerned, Mr. Chairman, I can recall any number of instances when this committee has had tavern reports from the Milwaukee Police Department specifically noting that the tavern operator was cooperative. And otherwise, specifically noting if the tavern operator was not cooperative. And those things are reflected in the findings of fact of the committee, because they appear in the tavern reports. I - - I can think of any number of those, precisely those instances. Usually the tavern report is somewhat neutral. It sets forth what occurred and no real indication as to whether or not the tavern operator or the manager on duty was cooperative or not. So I - - I think that's an important thing to - - to keep in mind. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And then, thank

00050 1 you for explaining that, the fact that you - -2 you feel that the - - that industry is overregulated, and, in fact, is done by design. 4 MR. SCHRIMPF: It is very much so by 5 design. There are some states, and there are 6 some counties, that prohibit it, just plain 7 prohibit it. And one thing that a lot of people 8 are not aware of is that the voters in a defined 9 district can get together and submit to the city 10 clerk that it requests for remonstrance, which 11 means that in so many words, there would be no 12 alcohol available for sale within a defined 13 district, even in an area or even in a 14 municipality that allows alcohol generally. 15 Chicago has had any number of those. Wisconsin, 16 to my knowledge, has never had one, but the 17 procedure is alive and well, and exists in 18 125.05. So in other words, it's possible that 19 your livelihood would be taken away from you by 20 action of the voters. That's entirely possible. 21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Puente. 22 ALDERMAN PUENTE: To answer your - -23 your question - - But I'm going to get to the 24 tavern report before your original question, and 25

correct me if I'm wrong, Deputy Inspector. But

00051					
1	the so-called tavern report is not just for				
2	taverns. It's for any licensed premise in the				
3	city, like taxes and that, too, that can be				
4	written up. Your grocery stores, et cetera, et				
5	cetera. Isn't that correct?				
6	INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Any licensed				
7	premises or person.				
8	ALDERMAN PUENTE: And we just label				
9	They just label it				
10	INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Tavern report.				
11	ALDERMAN PUENTE: Because that's when				
12	it's used most often, but the PA33				
13	INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Correct.				
14	ALDERMAN PUENTE: is for any city				
15	license. So that form is not used exclusively				
16	for taverns, to answer that question.				
17	And then your first question about if				
18	the box is checked off that the person, the bar				
19	owner is cooperative, and just let it go. You				
20	can't, because again, it's the totality of the				
21	circumstances. And I'm going to give you two				
22	extreme scenarios here.				
23	You, as a tavern owner, calls and says,				
24	"Hey, we have an underage kid," or a person in				
25	our tavern. And you call. That's going to be				

00052 1 reflected. I know I will look at that very favorably. However, if you have a shooting in your place, and it's checked off, you called the police. That's a little different. Okay. 4 5 Again, you have to look at the totality of the 6 circumstances. Okay. So, that's why I would not 7 be in favor of just checking off that box and 8 say, okay, everything's good because they called 9 10 And then you - - Then you get the other 11 side where somebody who abused that privilege, 12 and said well, if I call, then nothing's going to 13 happen to me. So you got to have a balance. 14 MR. GORDON: Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Gordon. 16 MR. GORDON: Thank you. Mr. Schrimpf's 17 comments reminded me of a long history of this 18 committee as it relates to policy determination 19 and creation. You certainly remember the liquor 20 wars that took place in the early '90s - -21 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I sure do. 22 MR. GORDON: - - while this committee 23 was tied up in hearings for several months and 24 several hours with citizens that wanted to reduce

the number of licensed premises throughout the

25

2.4

City of Milwaukee. And this committee, in fact, took that as a mandate from the voters, from the community based organizations, and from other entities, and, in fact, did that. Several members went - - used that as a mandate for their very existence as elected officials, to see that licensed premises were reduced throughout the City of Milwaukee. And this committee set forth policies regarding the use of the issue of concentration. That was a policy determination that this committee made.

And we're asking you to consider that policy making power. Because that's what it is. It's not a law. It's a policy. And that policy determines how you respond, you know, to the development and the creation, and more importantly, the sustaining of these premises in the City of Milwaukee. Every aldermanic district saw a reduction in their licensed premises during that period of time. And it was a mandate from the voters, and community-based organizations in this city. We now find ourselves at a real crossroads, and the reason why we're here today is to inform you about some of the issues that we've had to face, you know, as business people.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

In this community, and we're all - - most of us here were born and raised in this city, in this community if you want to be an entrepreneur, and you happen to be an African-American, it's - - it's been gain said that the best way to do that is to open up your own business and the most - - and the easiest way to do it is to open up an establishment, a liquor store, a bar, a restaurant, a combination of the two.

So as a result, I think what's happening in recent years, we're seeing it's extremely difficult for that type of entrepreneurship to continue, especially with young African-Americans. In a city where you have over 59 percent of African-American males between the ages of 18 and 30, unemployed, what is their hope, you know, to even begin to dream about having a business opportunity. When they see people like Arlis Jones, who recently got out of the business after 35 years of running establishments within the City of Milwaukee, and then some of the people here before you who have been in business for a number of years at various locations. These are the kinds of role models that a lot of young people, who have some

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

business orientation that would like to open up a business, have to look up to.

And so, I guess we're imploring you from a policy perspective, because we know that, at least in my opinion, I've always said this. Next to the Finance And Personnel Committee, this committee is the most impactful committee in the City of Milwaukee as it relates to business development in the City of Milwaukee. And throughout the years, from the liquor wars, the concentration issues, to all the concerns that you've made policy determinations about, this is a major concern. The relationship between the Milwaukee Police Department and licensed premises has always been a very difficult one. And we want to cooperate. We need to cooperate with the Milwaukee Police Department. That's why they're the largest department in city government. And we think that these kinds of situations which you've heard, perhaps in various scenarios throughout your time on this committee, still resonate. And it is becoming increasingly more difficult to develop a business background based on these kinds of concerns.

So I'm asking you to put your policy

00056 hats on and to think about these kinds of 1 concerns, because you do have the policy making apparatus and power to make systemic changes, and 4 it's already been shown. 5 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 6 MR. BARRY: One last thing here. 7 done here. You guys can stop me, but - -8 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Go ahead. 9 MR. BARRY: About - - And I'm going 10 back to what Alderman Puente said about the 11 totality of all of it. Well, if we thought they 12 were being used fair from establishment to 13 establishment, we wouldn't even be here. But 14 what's happened is there's so many 15 inconsistencies in how, like bars on Water 16 Street, I've seen guys handcuffed to a meter in 17 lieu of going to jail. And they let them go 18 later, because the mentality of the police 19 department is different in some communities. I 20 mean, it is what it is. I mean, I'm not trying 21 to play the race card by - - by any stretch, but 22 it is what it is. 23 It - - Last summer it was a capacity 2.4 thing where the police department were cracking

down on capacity issues. Well, capacity is a

25

00057 1 safety issue. It has nothing to do with liquor. 2 Well, have they went in Walmart the day after Thanksgiving to count their people? So it's a 4 lot of inconsistencies in how things are being 5 applied towards bar owners, and even certain 6 neighborhoods. And, you know, Summerfest had 500 7 citations issued last year. Their license is going to come in the mail. So I understand that 8 9 - - I mean, we're all held to a higher standard 10 because of no license, liquor license, but at 11 some point, I mean, well we have to be fair of 12 how these policies are being, you know, 13 implemented or - - or imposed on - - on different 14 bar owners. And that's - - that's all I'm 15 closing with. 16 MR. GORDON: And speaking of the 17 capacity issue, and - - and this is something 18 that, you know, just infuriates me when I think 19 about it. It was - - It was a policy decision to 20 decide to enforce the capacity issue amongst 21 licensed premises. Ms. Garcia, you know, has an 22 establishment in your district, Mr. Chairman. 23 What is the capacity? 24 MS. GARCIA: 65. MR. GORDON: 65. She was over capacity 25

at one point in time and received a 40,000 dollar ticket for - - What was the capacity number again?

MS. GARCIA: Well, they counted 109 over, which I beg to differ, because if my capacity is 65, how am I getting an extra 109 people in my bar. And where this count came from, I don't know. It was - - The ticket that they gave me on the Monday that we had an appointment on Tuesday.

MR. GORDON: And that's an abuse, I think, of discretion. It was settled. She paid a fine of 5,000 dollars, which was still exorbitant. But - - But given the fact that the discretion is what we're concerned about, that's something that I think members must be mindful of. Because when certain situations happen, as with Malcolm at Mixer's, at two o'clock in the morning, as my grandmother used to said - - used to say to me, "Nothing good happens at two o'clock in the morning unless you're asleep." And unfortunately, we don't have that kind of situation happening here. There's a lot going on at that point in time. And I think that the discretion issue, perhaps, should be considered

00059 1 greater based on what you've heard here today. 2 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Thank you. 3 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And I - - Go 5 ahead, Ms. Rozinski. 6 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: In regards to 7 capacity, that's something that we enforce, and 8 you're absolutely right. It is a safety issue. 9 Along with that, we also check the safe egress 10 out of buildings, which is also an ordinance. A 11 lot of the tavern officers that - - that check 12 taverns on a regular basis - - In fact, I just 13 read a PA33 where they actually had a hand-14 counter. And they counted as they go out. 15 Because they know what they have come across in 16 regards to issuing citations and having to prove 17 that in court. So it's not arbitrary. 18 I would just request that in regards to 19 the licensed premises reports, I believe that the 20 officers should fill them out every time they 21 respond to a tavern or go into a tavern and 22 observe a violation, for the simple reason that 23 we don't want the officers to have that 24 discretion on when they think one should be filed

and when they think one shouldn't be. You know,

25

for - - for the sake of it, if you have a tavern owner that's cooperative and now you have an officer who says, well, they were cooperative, so I don't want to file a PA33, so that's not on file. I don't think that that's a discretion the officer should have. Those reports are there to report the facts of that incident and what occurred that evening. And how that's judged by this - - this governing body, of course, is - - is your discretion. But I do believe that we shouldn't leave that discretion to the officers, and that we should go ahead and file the PA33s, as we have in the past.

MR. SCHRIMPF: Mr. Chairman, if I may. CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Mr. Schrimpf.
MR. SCHRIMPF: Thank you. One of the gentleman indicated a concern about due process, and - - and we went into the discussion about the excess capacity case. And I think it was pointed out that what was originally a 40,000 dollar ticket was - - became a 5,000 dollar ticket. The point of the matter is is that the Milwaukee Police Department is supposed to, if they observe a violation, whether their observations are correct or incorrect, if the Milwaukee Police

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Department observes violation, they should bring that to the attention of the proper authorities.

When a citation is written, it gets reviewed in our office before it ever sees a courtroom. And during that review process, if the - - the individuals who are in our prosecution division observe a problem with the case, lack of proof, or evidence, or some such thing, that's what results in cases being dismissed or bargained down, et cetera. And - -And that's - - That's how the system should work. But it all turns on the officer issuing the citation in the first place, so that other people who are armed with the discretion, prosecutorial discretion or the discussion of the committee here to - - to decide if something elevates itself to a suspension or nonrenewal or warning letter, have the opportunity to make those decisions.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: We're going to wrap this up. We've got a few more items on the agenda we have to attend to this afternoon. I really appreciate Alderman Wade sponsoring this and - - and bringing it to the table. I appreciate hearing your comments. You know, I

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

just want to say for our part on the committee, I think that we've - - One thing you have to understand is we have, as far as the alcohol beverage premises licenses, we have how many to keep track of? Two thousand or something in the city. So we can't - - I'm the guy that always says you should turn your cell phones and pagers off. I'm trying to turn it off.

I think that - - So - - But what we do is we rely on these other agencies to come and give us information, one, being the police department, health department, neighborhood services, and testimony from the - - testimony from the neighbors. And I - - I believe that this - - this committee, and I'm - - I'm pleased with the - - the collective group that we have here on this committee. I think that we - - Ithink that we've been very fair in this - - in this term. But I understand exactly what you're saying, in that sometimes - - Because we can't know the details of each place intimately, because you just can't. It's just like we run into people in town, they say, "I haven't - -I'll run into somebody and they'll say, "Well, I haven't," you know, "I haven't seen you in my

2.4

place in a year." It's like, well, two thousand places in Milwaukee. If I went to a different one every week, it would take me eight years to — It would take me eight years to make the rounds in Milwaukee. So if you haven't seen me in a year, you know, I could be seven years away yet. So sometimes when you're looking at this — at — at your little part of the geography, it's hard to — it's hard to see what we have to deal with. But we try as much as we can to get as much information as we can to make — — to make good, fair and consistent decisions.

And - - And then, of course, we each have our own - - our own particular viewpoints on how, in the severity and how we look at some things. But we certainly - - We're not - - I don't feel that we're in the business to - - to shut businesses down. Although sometimes individually the people that come to the table may, in fact, feel that way. And just sharing the one - - one of the stories that we heard today, I can understand why you would feel that way. But because of this, I really appreciate that you brought these items to our attention. I will be cognizant of that more in the future, as

00064 1 I - - I have been in the past. I will be cognizant of that more in the future, of what these reports mean. 4 I don't want anyone that holds a 5 license in the City of Milwaukee to fear calling 6 the police. It's - - It's a matter of 7 relationships. And I really appreciate that the 8 - - the new administration of the police 9 department, I believe, is - - And again, if 10 you're an individual that was on - - that was on 11 the wrong end of some discipline here, you're not 12 going to agree with this. But the - - I think 13 the police department is trying hard to establish 14 better relationships with, not only the tavern 15 and liquor store owners, but all businesses in 16 the city. And in getting to that point, there's 17 some - - there's some rough terrain that - - that 18 we have to go over, and I think that, perhaps at 19 times, there's - - And the other thing you have 20 to understand is that - - is well, you know, we 21 have - - we have 2000 licenses that we have to 22 keep track of. How many officers are there in 23 the Milwaukee Police Department? 2.4 INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: Just under 2000.

CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: 2000.

25

00065					
00065 1	INCRECTOR DOTINGUI. With the charters				
2	INSPECTOR ROZINSKI: With the shortage we have.				
3					
	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: And And not				
4	everyone Not everyone comes in with the same				
5	level of experience or professionalism than the				
6	next one you're going to have. So you You				
7	may In an exactly set same set of				
8	circumstances you may have a different reaction				
9	from the officers coming in, just because they're				
10	different people and they handle themselves				
11	differently. But once again, I give credit to				
12	the police department in that they're trying to				
13	they're trying to equalize these things more,				
14	so that we have less less less problems				
15	that are a result of possible misunderstandings.				
16	So, once again, I appreciate the fact				
17	that Alderman Wade scheduled this, and that you				
18	all came here today. And				
19	ALDERMAN WADE: Mr. Chair.				
20	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Alderman Wade.				
21	ALDERMAN WADE: If I may.				
22	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: Please. Please.				
23	ALDERMAN WADE: When you get done, I				
24	just wanted to say one				
25	CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: I'm finished.				

ALDERMAN WADE: Okay. Thank you. Although Alderman Gordon expressed that this may be the second most important committee, I would — — I would have to argue that it may be the most watched committee. It's definitely more popular than Finance. And I'm — — I'm just surprised by how many people actually watch this committee. People come to me all the time and tell me that they watch this committee. I don't know why, but they watch this.

But I think that this is a real issue, the things that we talked about today is definitely a real issue. It - - It impacts people's livelihood in - - in a lot of different ways. It impacts the inner city socially in a lot of different ways. And that's why I think this was important dialog for us to have, so we can kind of get a better understanding and a better comfort level of each other. When I say "each other," I mean, the police department, the bar owners, and this committee, because we have to work together. At least, we should be trying to work together, because regardless of what we think or what we might think, there's a lot of jobs involved in this industry in this area, and

00067 1 it impacts us - - It impacts crime, in a plus/minus way depending on jobs, being available or not being available, et cetera, et cetera. 4 So having said that, I want to thank 5 the Deputy Inspector for coming down to provide 6 the insights. I want to also thank Alderman 7 Gordon and the rest of the members of the 8 association for coming down and sharing. And I 9 want to thank my committee members for allowing 10 us to have this type of dialog. Because like I 11 said, it is - - it is real and it is very 12 important. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 CHAIRMAN WITKOWIAK: You're welcome. 14 And file number 050890, Communication from Metro 15 - - Milwaukee Metro Entertainment Association 16 relating to the police department activities and 17 alcohol regulations, Alderman Wade moves to place 18 this matter on file. And hearing no objections, 19 so ordered. 20 MR. GORDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 21 members. 22

\* \* \* \* \* \*

0006	8
2	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
4 5	MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
6 7	I, JEAN M. BARINA, of Milwaukee Reporters
8	Associated, Inc., do certify that the foregoing transcript was reduced to writing under my direction
9	and that it is a true and accurate transcription of
10 11	the Utilities and Licenses Committee Hearing held on
12	October 31, 2005.
13	
1.4	
14	JEAN M. BARINA - COURT REPORTER
15	ODAW M. DANIMA COOKI KII OKIDIK
	Dated this day of January, 2006
16	
17	
18	