

## Rodriguez, Charlotte

---

**From:** Elmer, Linda  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 14, 2009 10:35 AM  
**To:** Rodriguez, Charlotte  
**Cc:** Owczarski, Jim  
**Subject:** FW: Mural Ordinance

Please attach.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Elmer, Linda  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2009 1:10 PM  
**To:** Rodriguez, Charlotte  
**Subject:** FW: Mural Ordinance

For 081236.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kovac, Nik  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2009 1:04 PM  
**To:** Elmer, Linda  
**Cc:** Horn, Sarah  
**Subject:** FW: Mural Ordinance

-----Original Message-----

**From:** William Bunyan [mailto:bill@riverwest-allstars.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2009 9:47 AM  
**To:** Kovac, Nik  
**Subject:** Mural Ordinance

Nik,

I just wanted to express my opposition to the proposed mural ordinance. Providing pictures of one mural, that happens to have some tagged signs nearby does not prove the case that murals are "graffiti magnets."

People who want to tag signs will do so wherever they please, the presence of a mural is not going to influence them one way or another. There are tagged signs all over the eastside and riverwest, even where there are no murals to be found.

Putting financial and bureaucratic roadblocks in the way of those looking to share art with the rest of us will not solve the graffiti problem, it will just mean less public art.

Thank you,

Bill Bunyan  
2665 N. Pierce St.