X_ SIMPLE AMENDMENT SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT PROCEDURAL MOTION MOTION BY ALD. MURPHY			
		RELATING TO: FILE NO. 101223	VERSION: PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE B
		TITLE: A substitute ordinance relating to historic preservation regulations.	
		COMMITTEE: Zoning, Neighborhoods and Development	

MOTION

I move to amend this ordinance by making the following change to the second-to-last sentence of proposed s. 320-21-11-L-0:

If claiming that denial of the certificate or the conditional approval of the certificate causes unreasonable economic hardship for the owner, the applicant shall provide clear and convincing evidence that any hardship is not self-created or the result of failure to maintain the property in good repair, that there is no reasonable use of the property, that there is no reasonable way to adapt the property for alternative use, [[and]] >>or<< that there has been no reasonable offer to purchase the property despite at least 2 years of ongoing substantial effort to sell the property.

101223motion1.rtf

DRAFTER: Jeff Osterman

DATE: 12/18/2012